

# **BROOKSIDE SOLAR, LLC**

Matter No. 21-00917

900-2.15 Exhibit 14

**Wetlands** 

## Contents

Acronym Lis	st	i
Glossary Te	erms	ii
Exhibit 14: \	Wetlands	1
14(a) M	ap Depicting Wetland Boundaries	1
14(b) W	etland Delineation Survey Reports	5
14(c) Q	ualitative and Descriptive Wetland Function Assessment	8
14(d) Ot	ffsite Wetlands Hydrological and Ecological Influence Analysis	12
14(e) A	voidance of Impacts to NYS Wetlands and Adjacent Areas	12
14(f) M	inimization of Impacts to NYS Wetlands and Adjacent Areas	13
(1)	Limitations for Avoidance	13
(2)	Minimization Measures	14
(3)	Wetland Function Considerations in Design and Siting	14
(4)	Wetland Function in Adjacent Areas	14
14(g) W	etland Restoration and Mitigation Plan	14
Conclusions	S	15
References	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16
Tables		
Table 14-1.	State-Jurisdictional Wetland Impacts	2
Table 14-2.	Impacts to State-Jurisdictional 100-Foot Adjacent Areas	3

# **Figures**

Figure 14-1. Delineated Wetlands

# **Appendices**

Appendix 14-1. Wetland and Stream Delineation Report

Appendix 14-2. Wetland Functions and Values Assessment

Appendix 14-3. ORES Surface Waters and Wetlands Jurisdiction Determination



## **Acronym List**

AES The AES Corporation, Inc.

FGDC NYS Environmental Conservation Law
FGDC Federal Geographic Data Committee

HDD horizontal directional drilling
JD Jurisdictional Determination

kV kilovolt

LOD limit of disturbance

NRCS Natural Resources Conservation Service

NWI National Wetlands Inventory

NYCRR New York Codes, Rules and Regulations

NYSDEC New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

NYSDPS New York State Department of Public Service

NYSEG New York State Electric and Gas
ORES Office of Renewable Energy Siting

PEM Palustrine Emergent
PFO Palustrine Forested

PJD Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination

PSS Palustrine Scrub-Shrub

PUB Palustrine Unconsolidated Bottom

REPH Riverine Ephemeral
RIN Riverine Intermittent
RUP Riverine Perennial

USACE United States Army Corps of Engineers

USCs Uniform Standards and Conditions

USDA United States Department of Agriculture



#### **Glossary Terms**

**Facility Site** 

**Applicant** Brookside Solar, LLC, a subsidiary of The AES

> Corporation, Inc. (AES), the entity seeking a siting permit for the Facility from the Office of Renewable Energy Siting (ORES) under Section 94-c of the New

York State Executive Law.

**Facility** The proposed components to be constructed for the

> collection and distribution of energy for the Brookside Solar Project, which includes solar arrays, inverters, electric collection lines, and the collection substation.

The parcels encompassing Facility components, which

totals 1,471 acres in the Towns of Burke and

Chateaugay, Franklin County, New York (Figure 2-1).

**Limits of Disturbance** The area to which construction impacts will occur,

totaling approximately 645 acres.

Study Area In accordance with the Section 94-c Regulations, the

> Study Area for the Facility includes a radius of 5 miles around the Facility Site boundary, unless otherwise

noted for a specific resource study or Exhibit. The 5-mile Study Area encompasses 69,963 acres, inclusive of the

1,471-acre Facility Site.

**Wetland Survey Area** The 1,286 acres of leased area within the 1,471-acre

Facility Site where wetland and stream delineations

were conducted.



#### **Exhibit 14: Wetlands**

This Exhibit provides information required in accordance with the requirements of Section 900-2.15 of the Section 94-c Regulations.

## 14(a) Map Depicting Wetland Boundaries

Field surveys were conducted to identify wetlands and streams within the Wetland Survey Area and within 100 feet of areas to be disturbed by construction of the Facility. The Wetland Survey Area is composed of the 1,286 acres of leased area within the 1,471-acre Facility Site. Surveys were performed in accordance with the *United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual* (Environmental Laboratory, 1987) and the 2012 Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0) (USACE, 2012), the New York State Freshwater Wetlands Delineation Manual (Browne et al., 1995), and the New York State Department of Public Service (DPS) Staff interpretation dated May 31, 2018, concerning the delineation of all federal, state, and locally regulated wetlands present within the Wetland Survey Area and within 100 feet of areas to be disturbed by construction, including the interconnections.

TRC conducted onsite wetland surveys for the 1,286 acres of Wetland Survey Area. The area delineated is referred to as the Wetland Survey Area throughout this exhibit and is shown on Figure 14-1. Wetland and stream delineations took place in June, October, and December 2020, and May 2021. Figure 14-1 includes wetlands and their adjacent buffers within 100 feet of the limit of disturbance (LOD). Tables 14-1 and 14-2 below summarize proposed impacts to mapped wetlands and regulated adjacent areas within the Wetland Survey Area due to construction activities associated with the solar array and appurtenant facilities installation.



**Table 14-1. State-Jurisdictional Wetland Impacts** 

Field ID	Wetland Class <sup>1</sup>	Impact Type	State Wetland Class	State Mitigation Requirements <sup>5</sup>	Impact Acres	Total Impacts		Page Number from Civil
						Sq Ft	Acres <sup>3</sup>	Drawing
W-JJB- 14	PSS	Tree Clearing <sup>2</sup>	Unmapped >12.4 acres	А	0.03	1,385.84	0.03	PV-C.00.12
Total	-	-	-	-	0.03	1,447.12	0.03	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PEM: Palustrine Emergent Marsh; PSS: Palustrine Scrub-Shrub; PFO: Palustrine Forested; PUB: Palustrine Unconsolidated Bottom



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Selective clearing method will be used, which involves no grading, or grubbing of stumps.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Individual Impact Acres may not add to the total impact acreages due to rounding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This table only shows State-jurisdictional wetlands being impacted directly. State-jurisdictional Wetlands W-JJB-2, W-JJB-22, W-JJB-23, and W-NSD-3 do not have direct impacts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Mitigation requirement A: allowed; no mitigation or enhancement required.

Table 14-2. Impacts to State-Jurisdictional 100-Foot Adjacent Areas

Field ID	Wetland Class <sup>1</sup>			State Mitigation Requirements <sup>5</sup>	Impact Acres	Total Impacts		Page Number
						Sq Ft	Acres <sup>3</sup>	from Civil Drawing
	PEM	Tree Clearing	Unmapped >12.4 acres	Α	<0.01	22,959.46	0.53	PV- C.00.12
		LOD		А	0.18			
		Fence line		А	<0.01			
		Fenced Area		A	0.02			
		Tree Clearing <sup>2</sup>		A	0.32			
W-JJB-14	PSS	Array Area	Unmapped >12.4 acres	А	0.05	- 28,327.44	0.65	
		Tree Clearing		A	0.08			
		Tree Clearing <sup>2</sup>		A	0.27			
		Fence Line		A	<0.01			
		LOD		А	0.16			
		Fenced Area		А	0.09			
	PEM	Access	Unmapped >12.4 acres	А	0.07	9,342.31	0.21	PV- C.00.06
		Array Area		А	0.01			
		Fenced Area		А	<0.01			
W-JJB-2		Fenced Line		А	<0.01			
		Grading		Α	0.01			
		LOD		А	0.10			
		Laydown Yard		Α	0.02			
		Culvert/Riprap		Α	<0.01			



Table 14-2. Impacts to State-Jurisdictional 100-Foot Adjacent Areas

Field ID	Wetland Class <sup>1</sup>	Impact Type	State Wetland Class	State Mitigation Requirements⁵	Impact Acres	Total Impacts		Page Number
						Sq Ft	Acres <sup>3</sup>	from Civil Drawing
		Fenced Area	Unmapped >12.4 acres	Α	0.11	24,061.61	0.55	
	D00	Fenced Line		A	<0.01			
	PSS	Array Area		А	0.04			
		LOD		А	0.41			
W-NSD-3	PEM	Collection Trench	Unmapped >12.4 acres	Α	<0.01	37,683.37	0.87	PV- C.00.07
		HDD Pits		A	0.01			
		LOD		A	0.84			
		Fenced Area		А	<0.01			
		Fenced Line		А	<0.01			
Totals	-	-	-	-	2.81	122,374.18	2.81	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PEM: Palustrine Emergent Marsh; PSS: Palustrine Scrub-Shrub; PFO: Palustrine Forested; PUB: Palustrine Unconsolidated Bottom



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Selective clearing method will be used, which involves no grading, or grubbing of stumps.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Individual Impact Acres may not add to the total impact acreages due to rounding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This table only shows State-jurisdictional wetlands being impacted to their adjacent areas. State-jurisdictional Wetlands W-JJB-22, and W-JJB-23 do not have adjacent impacts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Mitigation requirement A: allowed; no mitigation or enhancement required.

## 14(b) Wetland Delineation Survey Reports

A description of wetland and stream cover types delineated within the Wetland Survey Area associated with the Facility Site are described in detail below and in the Wetland and Stream Delineation Report included herein as Appendix 14-1. Each wetland was assigned cover types based on the Cowardin classification system (Federal Geographic Data Committee, 2013). In some instances, a delineated wetland or stream contained multiple cover types due to its size and/or a more complex community character. Boundaries were demarcated and data plots were collected for each specific cover type. This method was used to establish a more complete depiction of wetlands and a more informative approach to any potential future mitigation efforts. Further information regarding the results of the Wetland Survey Area is included in Appendix 14-1.

Palustrine Emergent wetlands (PEM) – Within the Wetland Survey Area, 25 wetlands delineated contain characteristics representative of the PEM classification. PEM wetlands are dominated by an herbaceous layer of hydrophytic (water-tolerant) plant species. PEM wetlands typically contain deep, nutrient rich soils that remain heavily saturated or even inundated throughout the year.

PEM wetlands encountered in the Wetland Survey Area were typically dominated by reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), narrowleaf cattail (*Typha angustifolia*), white meadowsweet (*Spiraea alba*), common fox sedge (*Carex vulpinoidea*), lamp rush (*Juncus effusus*), single-vein sweet flag (*Acorus calamus*), and sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*). Evidence of wetland hydrology for these resource areas includes saturation, water-stained leaves, drainage patterns, saturation visible on aerial imagery, geomorphic position, and the FAC-neutral test. Observed soils reflected hydric soil indicator features outlined in *Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States: A Guide for Identifying and Delineating Hydric Soils, Version 8.2* (Natural Resources Conservation Service [NRCS], 2018). Although hydric soil indications were variable, PEM wetlands within the Wetland Survey Area typically displayed silty clay loam, clay loam, and clay soils. Variations of characteristics in the soil matrices generally demonstrated Depleted Matrix (F3) and/or Redox Dark Surface (F6) hydric soil indicators.

Palustrine Scrub-Shrub wetlands (PSS) – Within the Wetland Survey Area, 10 wetlands delineated contained characteristics representative of a PSS wetland community. PSS wetlands are dominated by woody shrub vegetation that stands less than 20 feet tall. Shrub species



dominating the wetland could include true shrubs, a mixture of young trees and shrubs, or trees that are small or stunted due to stressors from environmental conditions.

PSS wetlands encountered in the Wetland Survey Area were typically dominated by speckled alder (*Alnus incana*), gray willow (*Salix bebbiana*), nannyberry (*Viburnum lentago*), and black willow (*Salix nigra*). Evidence of wetland hydrology for these resource areas includes saturation, saturation visible on aerial imagery, geomorphic position, and the FAC-neutral test. PSS wetlands typically displayed clay loam and silt loam soils. Variations of characteristics in the soil matrices generally demonstrated Depleted Matrix (F3) and/or Redox Dark Surface (F6) hydric soil indicators.

Palustrine Forested wetlands (PFO) – Within the Wetland Survey Area, nine wetlands encountered contained characteristics representative of a PFO wetland. PFO wetlands are sometimes referred to as swamps and are dominated by tree species 20 feet or taller with an understory of shrub and herbaceous species. Understory vegetation presence readily varies, as the upper canopy of tree species may block light needed for extensive vegetative growth in the understory. Coniferous swamps, lowland hardwood swamps, and floodplain forests are common types of PFO wetlands. Soils in PFO wetlands are typically inundated or saturated early spring into summer. Some PFO wetlands may dry up entirely, which reveal water stain marks along the trunks of exposed tree species and shallow, buttressed root systems indicative of periods of heavy inundation events.

PFO wetlands encountered in the Wetland Survey Area were typically dominated by green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), black willow, and black spruce (*Picea mariana*) in the tree stratum, and gray dogwood (*Cornus racemosa*) and Morrow's honeysuckle (*Lonicera morrowii*) within the shrub stratum. Evidence of wetland hydrology for these resource areas includes saturation, high water table, saturation visible on aerial imagery, geomorphic position, and the FAC-neutral test. PFO wetlands typically displayed silty clay loam and clay loam soils. Variations of characteristics in the soil matrices generally demonstrated Depleted Matrix (F3) and/or Redox Dark Surface (F6) hydric soil indicators.

Palustrine Unconsolidated Bottom (PUB) wetlands – Within the Wetland Survey Area, one wetland encountered was observed to contain characteristics representative of PUB wetland communities. PUB wetlands are characterized by surface water and have less than 30 percent vegetative cover and at least 25 percent cover of particles less than stones. As these are bodies



of standing water, evidence of wetland hydrology was decisively present with standing water ranging from approximately 2 to 4 feet in depth. Dominant vegetation near the perimeter of the PUB wetlands included narrowleaf cattail, devil's pitchfork (*Bidens frondosa*), and black willow. Evidence of wetland hydrology included surface water, high water table, saturation, inundation visible on aerial imagery, geomorphic position, and the FAC-neutral test.

Riverine Streams (RUP, RIN, REPH) – Within the Wetland Survey Area, 25 streams were delineated. Classification of streams were dependent on a temporal description of their usual level of flow regimes. Riverine perennial streams (RUP) tend to flow all year, except during severe drought conditions. RUP streams can flow below the water table and receive groundwater flow sources from springs or groundwater seepages on slopes. Riverine intermittent streams (RIN) flow only during certain times of the year from alternating springs, snow melts, or from runoff from seasonal precipitation events. RIN streams can flow above or below the water table. Riverine ephemeral steams (REPH) flow sporadically and are entirely dependent on transient precipitation from storm events or from periodic snow melts. These streams tend to flow above the water table and are often found as drainage features adjacent to, or within, the headwaters of a more major stream system.

Streams encountered within the Wetland Survey Area were mostly intermittent in nature along gentle to moderate gradients (0 to 4 percent). Stream widths ranged from 2 to 6 feet. Streams generally contained channel substrates of silt, clay, cobble, gravel, and sand with probed stream depths in the range of 0 to 6 inches. Most streams were determined to lack substantial features to permit the prevalence of aquatic ecologies. Only a small number of streams within the Facility were determined to contain significant aquatic habitat to establish and support fish and wildlife populations. Most of the stream systems supporting aquatic habitats were found to be perennial, as an annual flow regime allows for a more readily established life cycle.

Further characterization of the wetlands and streams can be found in Appendix 14-1, the Wetland and Stream Delineation Report.

Mapping by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) depicts no NYSDEC-mapped wetlands within the Wetland Survey Area. Therefore, none of the wetlands delineated by TRC within the Wetland Survey Area would fall under NYSDEC jurisdiction pursuant to Article 24 of the NYS Environmental Conservation Law (ECL). Therefore, the only regulated wetlands identified, are unmapped wetlands greater than 12.4



acres which ORES has asserted jurisdiction under 94-c. All regulated wetlands located within the Wetland Survey Area are listed in Table 3 of Appendix 14-1. All features delineated during the onsite wetland surveys and their State regulated adjacent buffers are shown in Figure 14-1. The Applicant received the Jurisdictional Determination (JD) from ORES on July 27, 2021 (Appendix 14-3). The ORES JD identified five wetlands to fall under State jurisdiction. Three wetlands (W-JJB-14, W-JJB-2, and W-NSD-3) will be impacted as seen in tables 14-1 and 14-2 and two wetlands (W-JJB-22 and W-JJB-23) will be avoided.

The Applicant also requested a Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination (PJD) from the USACE on July 27, 2021, and had a USACE site visit on November 10, 2021. The PJD and AJD were submitted December 20, 2021 and are still under review as of the filing of this Application. The Applicant will comply with all permit requirements for any impacts to USACE jurisdictional wetlands. All wetland features delineated during the onsite wetland surveys are shown on Figure 14-1, while the existing mapped wetlands are shown in Figure 13-2 in Exhibit 13.

#### 14(c)Qualitative and Descriptive Wetland Function Assessment

Past efforts to use best professional judgements to interpret wetland functions and values would often be unorganized, unpredictable, and legally difficult to document and defend. In response, the USACE developed a supplement to the *Highway Methodology Workbook entitled Functions and Values: A Descriptive Approach* (Supplement) (USACE, 1999). This assessment example was created to collect and describe the functions and values assessment of wetlands in a measurable and un-biased perspective. It is for these reasons that the Applicant elects to use elements of the USACE, Highway Methodology, and processes outlined in the Supplement, to conduct a qualitative assessment of the physical characteristics of the wetlands and identify the functions and values they exhibit.

The functions and values of wetlands are the favorable services that a wetland provides to its surrounding environment and towards the benefit of human society (USACE, 1999). Functions and values operate as the result of specific biological, chemical, and physical characteristics within the wetland, and are the basis of many complex relationships maintained between the wetland, the watershed, and the local ecological and human environments.

Assessing a specific wetland's functions and values is needed to determine the overall effects an impact or alteration may have on a wetland feature. Ultimately, such a measurement aids in



establishing the appropriate type and amount, if any, of possible mitigation after impacts to a wetland occur. More recently, the assessment of the functions and values for wetlands have been used to consider wetland features for their value and functional significance, to better ensure that wetlands with specific and higher functions or values receive proper vindication. Toward that end, a wetland functions and values assessment was undertaken for the Wetland Survey Area. A comprehensive description of the functions and values of all wetlands delineated follows.

The thirteen functions and values that are considered by the USACE through their Supplement are listed below. The list includes eight functions and five values. Although the functions and values are not the only wetland functions and values possible, they do represent the current working suite provided by the USACE for regulatory consideration and do match with the wetland benefits described within the ECL under Article 24. As such, they are thought to provide an objective and meaningful representation of the wetland resources associated with the Facility. Based on processes outlined in the Supplement, a spreadsheet was created to include several basic considerations ("qualifiers") that help identify the functions and values provided by wetlands. These considerations are numerous, but include observed vegetation conditions, hydrologic conditions, size, adjacent area conditions, and the availability of public access. To see the spreadsheet and receive more detail on the functions and values assessment, See Appendix 14-2 for the Brookside Wetland Functions and Values Assessment. Each wetland's functions and values were evaluated based on data collected during the wetland delineation, meeting specific conditions. Various wetland characteristics were identified for each wetland. Based on these data, the functions and values provided were determined.

#### **Wetland Functions**

Wetland functions are the properties or process of a wetland ecosystem, which aid in promoting a homeostatic natural environment in the absence of human interference. A wetland's specific function(s) results from both organic and inorganic components, including physical, geologic, hydrologic, chemical, and biological systems. These components include all processes necessary for the self-maintenance of the wetland ecosystem and relate to the ecological significance of wetland properties without regard to subjective human values. The eight functions defined by the Supplement, including short descriptions defining each function, are as follows:



- Flood-flow Alteration This function applies to the effectiveness of the wetland in reducing flood damage by containing an enhanced ability to store floodwaters for an extended period following heavy precipitation events.
- 2. Groundwater Recharge/Discharge This function defines the potential for a wetland to act as a source of groundwater recharge and/or discharge. Recharge describes the potential for the wetland to contribute water to an underlying aquifer. Discharge relates to the potential for the wetland to act as a source of groundwater transfer to the surface i.e., springs and hillside seeps.
- 3. Sediment/Pollutant Retention This function describes the ability of a wetland to hinder the degradation of water qualities downstream. It relates to the effectiveness of the wetland as a trap for sediments, toxicants, or pathogens based on its geomorphic position, connectivity, soil thickness, and other physical characteristics.
- 4. Fish and Shellfish Habitat This function defines a wetland's ability to contain or influence suitable habitats for fish and shellfish species.
- 5. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization This function defines a wetland's ability to effectively stabilize streambanks and shorelines against future erosion events.
- Production Export This function relates to a wetland's ability to produce food or usable products for organisms, including humans, within the trophic levels associated with the watershed.
- Nutrient Removal/Retention/Transformation This function relates to the wetlands
  containing the ability to prevent excess nutrients entering aquifers or surface waters
  such as ponds, lakes, streams, rivers, or estuaries.
- 8. Wildlife Habitat This function considers the effectiveness of the wetland to provide habitat for various types and populations of animals typically associated with wetlands and the wetland edge. Resident and migrating species were considered along with the potential for any state or federally listed species occurring within the target wetland.

Wetlands within the Wetland Survey Area displayed multiple functions based on their specific site characteristics. All delineated wetlands were determined to have the ability to provide some function of flood-flow alteration, sediment/toxicant/pathogen retention, and nutrient removal/retention/transformation. The primary functions displayed by wetlands within the Wetland Survey Area include:



- Wildlife Habitat
- Groundwater Recharge or Discharge
- Production Export
- Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization

#### Wetland Values

Values are the societal benefits stemming from one or more of the functions associated with a wetland. Most wetlands have a corresponding public value to an assessable degree. The value of a wetland function, or a combination of functions, is based on the interpretative judgement of the significance attributed to the wetlands through various functions it provides. The five values defined by the Supplement and adopted for use in this assessment, including short descriptions defining each value, are documented below.

- 1. Recreation This value indicates whether the wetland is effective in providing or assisting in the establishment of recreational opportunities such as boating, fishing, hunting, and other leisurely pursuits. Recreation in this capacity includes both consumptive and non-consumptive activities. Consumptive activities consume or diminish the plants, animals, or other resources that are naturally located in the wetland, whereas non-consumptive activities do not.
- 2. Education/Scientific This value considers the effectiveness of the wetland as a site for public education or as a location for scientific research.
- 3. Uniqueness/Heritage This value applies to wetlands that contain a singular or rare quality. Special qualities may include such things as the wetland's history and the presence of archaeological sites, historical events which may have taken place at the wetland, or unique plants, animals, or geologic features located within, or supported by, the wetland feature.
- 4. Visual Quality/Aesthetics This value relates to the visual and aesthetic qualities of the wetland.
- 5. Threatened or Endangered Species Habitat This value related to the effectiveness of the wetland or associated waterbodies to specifically support threatened or endangered species.



Values, providing societal benefits, are limited within this Study Area due to the wetlands being largely inaccessible to the public. The primary values displayed by wetlands within the Wetland Survey Area include:

- · Recreation, and
- Visual Quality and Aesthetics.

For more detail on the functions and values assessment, please see the Wetland Functions and Values Assessment in Appendix 14-2.

#### 14(d) Offsite Wetlands Hydrological and Ecological Influence Analysis

Wetlands outside the Facility Site were approximated within at least 100 feet of the LOD using aerial imagery, review of wetland mapping databases maintained by the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) and NYSDEC, reference to onsite observations, and an analysis of publicly available topographic contour mapping. The approximation of wetlands within at least 100 feet of the LOD was used to determine hydrological connections to these offsite wetlands, including possible offsite State-jurisdictional wetlands protected by the NYSDEC that are located outside the Facility Site. There were 13 approximated offsite wetlands identified. TRC delineated these wetlands onsite during wetland and stream surveys, and by using aerial imagery and publicly available data to identify approximate wetland boundaries where property access was not granted. All approximated wetlands were extensions of field-delineated wetlands within the Wetland Survey Area as noted by TRC during onsite survey efforts. As such, these specific approximated wetlands would likely be considered federally jurisdictional by the USACE. Additionally, none of the wetlands appear to have offsite hydrological connections to any State-iurisdictional wetland.

# 14(e) Avoidance of Impacts to NYS Wetlands and Adjacent Areas

TRC delineated a total of 38 wetlands within the Wetland Survey Area. ORES provided the Applicant with a JD regarding State-regulated wetlands and streams on July 27, 2021 (Appendix 14-3). The Facility avoids impacts to all Article 24 wetlands due to there being no mapped NYSDEC features within the Facility Site.

The Facility design process used information from the wetland and stream delineation to place components where they would avoid and/or minimize impacts to State-jurisdictional wetlands



(and their 100-foot adjacent areas) and waterbodies wherever possible. The current Facility layout avoids permanent impacts to all of the five State-jurisdictional wetlands and waterbodies by locating Facility solar array structures and other Facility components outside the delineated features to the maximum extent practicable. In addition, haul roads and collection lines were routed around delineated features. The Facility is designed to avoid/minimize impacts to these wetlands by siting solar arrays, racking systems, collection lines, and all other Facility components at least 75 feet away from wetland boundaries which is required for undisturbed adjacent areas (Appendix 5-1 Design Drawings). Instances where the 75-foot setback could not be met are further detailed in Tables 14-1 and 14-2 above. Where non-jurisdictional wetlands are encountered and must be impacted by Facility components, the narrowest and/or smallest portion of the wetlands will be used.

#### 14(f) Minimization of Impacts to NYS Wetlands and Adjacent Areas

Impacts to State-jurisdictional wetlands have been minimized to the maximum extent practicable. The Applicant carefully designed the Facility to avoid and minimize impacts to State-jurisdictional wetlands and the adjacent areas. Through avoidance and minimization efforts including a thorough design process and multiple drafts and revisions of the Facility, the Applicant will not be permanently impacting any State-jurisdictional wetlands through haul road construction and culvert installation. Haul roads are necessary for the construction of the Facility and have been sited in the narrowest point of the wetland to minimize impacts. Impacts to State-regulated adjacent areas total 2.81 acres. Facility components sited in regulated adjacent areas include solar panels, a horizontal directional drilling (HDD) bore pit, and security fences. Vegetation clearing in these areas is also necessary to prevent shading on nearby solar arrays.

#### (1) Limitations for Avoidance

The Facility is designed to avoid/minimize impacts to wetlands and regulated adjacent areas by siting solar arrays, racking systems, collection lines, and all other Facility components at least 75 feet away from wetland boundaries, as practicable (Appendix 5-1, Design Drawings). There will be 2.81 acres of State-jurisdictional wetland impacts to adjacent areas. The three State-jurisdictional wetlands that will have adjacent area impacts are W-JJB-14 (1.17 acres), W-JJB-2 (0.76 acres), and W-NSD-3 (0.87 acres). There will be 0.03 acres of wetland impacts to one State-jurisdictional wetland, W-JJB-14. These impacts to the adjacent areas and wetlands are a result of tree clearing to prevent shading of facility components, installation of collection



trenches, HDD pits, LOD, haul roads, grading, fence lines, fenced areas, and the array area (Table 14-1 and Table 14-2).

## (2) Minimization Measures

The Applicant has avoided all routing and siting options for State-jurisdictional wetland crossings, using narrow crossing locations and existing crossings wherever possible to access Facility components. All alternatives regarding Facility design were examined and the current design incorporates these alternatives. State-jurisdictional wetland impacts have been minimized through careful design and study of the Wetland Survey Area.

#### (3) Wetland Function Considerations in Design and Siting

The Facility was designed and sited to minimize impacts to State wetlands based on the functions and values of the wetlands. As detailed in Table 14-1 and Table 14-2, impacts to scrub-shrub wetlands will be minimized through selective tree clearing, which will not involve any grading or grubbing of tree stumps. Impacts to wetlands that are seasonally saturated/inundated will be minimized. Facility components sited within wetlands were predominantly sited in PEM and PSS wetlands within agricultural fields, which typically have lower functions and values.

#### (4) Wetland Function in Adjacent Areas

The Facility layout and siting will improve the functions and values of the adjacent areas onsite. Adjacent areas provide a valuable protection buffer for the wetlands and often share the functions and values of the wetlands they surround. The functions and values will be increased by the Facility because all impacted adjacent areas will be planted with a native seed mix, which will stabilize the ground, minimize erosion, increase biodiversity, and restore the land post-construction.

#### 14(g) Wetland Restoration and Mitigation Plan

As described throughout this Exhibit, impacts to wetlands and streams have been avoided and minimized to the extent practicable. Wetland impacts are limited to 0.03 acres (1,447.14 square feet). This type of impact (selective tree clearing) does not require any enhancement or mitigation due to the type of tree removal; therefore, this impact requires no compensatory state



mitigation. The wetland mitigation requirements set forth in 19 New York Codes, Rules and Regulations (NYCRR) Section 2.15(g) do not require a 1:1, 2:1, or 3:1 mitigation ratio for impacts related to selective tree clearing.

#### Conclusions

TRC delineated a total of 38 wetlands within the Wetland Survey Area of the Facility Site. The Facility design process used information from the wetland and stream delineation to place components where they would avoid and/or minimize impacts to State-jurisdictional wetlands (and their 100-foot adjacent areas) wherever possible. Impacts to State-jurisdictional wetlands total 0.03 acres and will not require compensatory mitigation. A wetland mitigation and restoration plan will be developed in accordance with Section 900-2.15(g). This plan will detail the wetland mitigation or enhancement, with an intent to reduce the presence of invasive species and increase the wetland's biodiversity. If possible, the Applicant may use a mitigation bank located within the same watershed. The Applicant will provide the full wetland mitigation and restoration plan as a pre-construction compliance filing after further consultation with ORES and NYSDEC. Additionally, the Applicant intends on planting native vegetation with a wetland plant mix that includes local species to ensure impacts to wetlands are minimal. The Facility has been designed to comply with 19 NYCRR Section 900-2.15 and the Uniform Standards and Conditions (USCs) and impacts related to wetlands have been avoided and minimized to the maximum extent practicable.



#### References

- Browne, S., Crocoll, S., Goetke, D., Heaslip, N., Kerpez, T., Kogut, K., Sanford, S., and D. Spasa. 1995. New York State Freshwater Wetlands Delineation Manual. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC), Division of Fish and Wildlife, Bureau of Habitat, Albany, NY.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE): Waterways Experiment Station; Vicksburg, MS.
- Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC). 2013. The Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States, Second Edition.
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). 1993. Freshwater Wetlands Regulation Guidelines on Compensatory Mitigation.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 1999. The Highway Methodology Workbook Supplement. Wetland Functions and Values A Descriptive Approach.
- USACE. 2012. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0). U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS, 162 pp.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2018. Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States, Version 8.2. L.M. Vasilas, G.W. Hurt, and J.F. Berkowitz (eds.). USDA, NRCS, in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soil.

