

Appendix 9-3

Historic Architectural Resources Survey and Effects Report



Historic Architectural Resources Survey and Effects Report

Brookside Solar Project
Towns of Burke and Chateaugay,
Franklin County, New York

**SHPO Project Review Number:
20PR03997**

Survey ID: 20SR00580

August 2021

Prepared for:
Brookside Solar, LLC

Prepared by:
TRC
4425-B Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20106

NY PSC Docket No.: 21-00917

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "S. Michael Mitchell".

S. Michael Mitchell

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Matthew G. Hyland".

Matthew G. Hyland

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	8
1.1	Project Description	8
2.0	REGULATORY FRAMEWORK	8
2.1	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	9
2.2	Section 94-c of the New York State Executive Law.....	9
2.3	Area of Potential Effects	10
3.0	TECHNICAL APPROACH	11
3.1	Survey Design	11
3.2	Background Research	11
3.3	Field Methods.....	12
4.0	HISTORIC CONTEXT	12
4.1	Introduction	12
4.2	Iroquois Settlement	13
4.3	Settlement in the Early American Republic	14
4.4	Nineteenth-Century Economic Growth	15
4.5	Franklin County in the Twentieth Century.....	15
5.0	SURVEY RESULTS AND EVALUATION OF ARCHITECTURAL PROPERTIES.....	16
5.1	Previously Identified Resources in the ZVI	16
5.2	Surveyed Resources in the ZVI	18
5.3	Listed, Eligible, and Recommended Eligible Resources in the ZVI.....	23
5.3.1	Atwater Cemetery, Martin Road (USN 03308.000079)	23
5.3.2	First Presbyterian Church, 214 East Main Street (USN 03345.000092)	23
5.3.3	House, 6 Franklin Street (USN 03345.000090)	24
5.3.4	House, 5 Franklin Street (USN 03345.000089)	24
5.3.5	House, 20 Church Street (USN 03345.000085)	24
5.3.6	House, 16 Church Street (USN 03345.000084)	25
5.3.7	Chateaugay United Methodist Church, 5 Church Street (USN 03345.000094) ..	25
5.3.8	House, 36 Depot Street (USN 03345.000087)	25
5.3.9	Bailey House, 43 Depot Street (USN 03345.000088)	26
5.3.10	Rutland Railroad Depot, 45 Depot Street (USN 03345.000002)	26
5.3.11	House, 14 Lake Street (USN 03345.000083)	26
5.3.12	Brayton Hollow Cemetery, Chasm Road (USN 03308.000081)	27
5.3.13	Farm, 474 Jamison Line Road (USN 03307.000055).....	27
5.3.14	House, 1035 West Main Street (USN 03344.000012).....	27
5.3.15	House, 15 East Road (USN 03307.000044).....	27

5.3.16	Burke Center Presbyterian Church, 263 County Route 34 (USN 03307.000051)	28
5.3.17	House, 29 Mill Street (USN 03344.000001)	29
5.3.18	Mitchell Cemetery, Montgomery Road (USN 03307.000047)	29
5.3.19	Ridgeway Cemetery, Cook Road (USN 03307.000043)	29
5.3.20	Farm, 165 Cemetery Road (USN 03308.000068)	29
5.3.21	House, 26 Mill Street (USN 03344.000002)	30
5.3.22	House, 528 Hartnett Road (USN 03308.000072)	30
5.3.23	House, 9 Mill Street (USN 03344.000003)	31
5.3.24	Garage, 1033 West Main Street (USN 04548.000038)	31
5.3.25	Burke United Methodist Church, 1027 West Main Street (USN 03344.000011)	31
5.3.26	Burke Town Hall / I. O. O. F., 842 Depot Street (USN 03344.000007)	32
5.3.27	Bova House, 5717 State Route 11 (USN 03307.000045)	32
5.3.28	St. Patrick's Cemetery, 294 Cemetery Road (USN 03308.000070)	32
5.3.29	Chateaugay Village Historic District, various addresses (USN 03345.000065)	33
5.3.30	House, 1207 County Route 23	33
6.0	PROJECT EFFECTS ANALYSIS	34
6.1	Assessment of Effects to Historic Properties	38
6.1.1	Atwater Cemetery, Martin Road, Chateaugay (USN 03308.000079)	38
6.1.2	First Presbyterian Church, 214 East Main Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000092)	40
6.1.3	House, 6 Franklin Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000090)	42
6.1.4	House, 5 Franklin Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000089)	44
6.1.5	House, 20 Church Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000085)	45
6.1.6	House, 16 Church Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000084)	47
6.1.7	Chateaugay United Methodist Church, 5 Church Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000094)	49
6.1.8	House, 36 Depot Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000087)	51
6.1.9	Bailey House, 43 Depot Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000088)	53
6.1.10	Rutland Railroad Depot, 45 Depot Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000002)	54
6.1.11	House, 14 Lake Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000083)	56
6.1.12	Brayton Hollow Cemetery, Chasm Road, Chateaugay (USN 03308.000081)	58
6.1.13	Farm, 474 Jamison Line Road, Burke (USN 03307.000055)	59
6.1.14	House, 1035 West Main Street, Burke (USN 03344.000012)	61
6.1.15	House, 15 East Road, Burke (USN 03307.000044)	62
6.1.16	Burke Center Presbyterian Church, 263 County Route 34, Burke (USN 03307.000051)	64
6.1.17	House, 29 Mill Street, Burke (USN 03344.000001)	65
6.1.18	Mitchell Cemetery, Montgomery Road, Burke (USN 03307.000047)	67
6.1.19	Ridgeway Cemetery, Cook Road, Burke (USN 03307.000043)	68

6.1.20	Farm, 165 Cemetery Road, Chateaugay (USN 03308.000068).....	70
6.1.21	House, 26 Mill Street, Burke (USN 03344.000002).....	71
6.1.22	House, 528 Hartnett Road, Chateaugay (USN 03308.000072)	73
6.1.23	House, 9 Mill Street, Burke (USN 03344.000003).....	74
6.1.24	Garage, 1033 West Main Street, Burke (USN 04548.000038).....	76
6.1.25	Burke United Methodist Church, 1027 West Main Street, Burke (USN 03344.000011).....	77
6.1.26	Burke Town Hall / I. O. O. F., 842 Depot Street, Burke (USN 03344.000007)....	79
6.1.27	Bova House, 5717 State Route 11, Burke (USN 03307.000045).....	80
6.1.28	St. Patrick's Cemetery, 294 Cemetery Road, Chateaugay (USN 03308.000070)	82
6.1.29	Chateaugay Village Historic District, various addresses, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000065)	83
6.1.30	House, 1207 County Route 23, Burke	85
7.0	CONCLUSION	86
8.0	REFERENCES CITED	89
6.3.14	House, 1035 West Main Street, Burke	62
6.3.15	House, 15 East Road, Burke.....	63
6.3.16	Burke Center Presbyterian Church, 263 County Route 34, Burke	65
6.3.17	House, 29 Mill Street, Burke.....	66
6.3.18	Mitchell Cemetery, Montgomery Road, Burke.....	68
6.3.19	Ridgeway Cemetery, Cook Road, Burke	69
6.3.20	Farm, 165 Cemetery Road, Chateaugay	71
6.3.21	House, 26 Mill Street, Burke	72
6.3.22	House, 528 Hartnett Road, Chateaugay.....	74
6.3.23	House, 9 Mill Street, Burke	75
6.3.24	Garage, 1033 West Main Street, Burke	77
6.3.25	Burke United Methodist Church, 1027 West Main Street, Burke	78
6.3.26	Burke Town Hall / I. O. O. F., 842 Depot Street, Burke	80
6.3.27	Bova House, 5717 State Route 11, Burke.....	81
6.3.28	St. Patrick's Cemetery, 294 Cemetery Road, Chateaugay.....	83
6.3.29	Chateaugay Village Historic District	84
6.3.30	House, 1207 County Route 23, Burke.....	86

TABLES

Table 1: Previously Identified Resources in the ZVI	17
Table 2: Architectural Resources in the ZVI; NRHP Eligibility Recommendations	20
Table 3: Summary of Preliminary Project Effects to Historic Properties.....	36
Table 4. Atwater Cemetery Assessment of Effects.....	38
Table 5: First Presbyterian Church Assessment of Effects	40
Table 6: House at 6 Franklin Street Assessment of Effects	42
Table 7: House at 5 Franklin Street Assessment of Effects	44
Table 8. House at 20 Church Street Assessment of Effects	46
Table 9. House at 16 Church Street Assessment of Effects	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 10: Chateaugay United Methodist Church Assessment of Effects.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 11: House at 36 Depot Street Assessment of Effects	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 12: Bailey House Assessment of Effects	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 13: Rutland Railroad Depot Assessment of Effects	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 14: House at 14 Lake Street Assessment of Effects	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 15: Brayton Hollow Cemetery Assessment of Effects	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 16: Farm at 474 Jamison Line Road Assessment of Effects.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 17: House at 1035 West Main Street Assessment of Effects	17
Table 18: House at 15 East Road Assessment of Effects	20
Table 19: Burke Center Presbyterian Church Assessment of Effects	36
Table 20. House 29 Mill Street Assessment of Effects	38
Table 21: Mitchell Cemetery Assessment of Effects.....	40
Table 22: Ridgeway Cemetery Assessment of Effects	42
Table 23: Farm at 165 Cemetery Road Assessment of Effects	44
Table 24: House at 26 Mill Street Assessment of Effects	46
Table 25: House at 528 Hartnett Road Assessment of Effects	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 26: House at 9 Mill Street Assessment of Effects	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 27: Garage at 1033 West Main Street Assessment of Effects.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 28: Burke United Methodist Church Assessment of Effects.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 29: Burke Town Hall / I. O. O. F. Assessment of Effects....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 30: Bova House Assessment of Effects.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 31: St. Patrick's Cemetery Assessment of Effects	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 32: Chateaugay Village Historic District Assessment of Effects.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 33: House at 1207 County Route 23, Assessment of Effects.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.

APPENDICES

Attachment A: Figures

Figure 1: Zone of Visual Impact (ZVI) Map, Bare Earth Visibility

Figure 2: Previously Surveyed Resources in the Zone of Visual Impact (ZVI)

Figure 3: Architectural Resources Survey Results Map

Attachment B: Preparers' Resumes

Attachment C: CRIS Trekker Building List for Surveyed Resources in the ZVI

Attachment D: OPRHP Consultation

ACRONYM LIST

ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
APE	Area of Potential Effects
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CRIS	Cultural Resource Information System
kV	Kilovolt
LOD	Limits of Disturbance
MW	Megawatt
NHL	National Historic Landmark
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NYCRR	New York Codes, Rules and Regulations
NYHPA	New York Historic Preservation Act
NYPA	New York Power Authority
OPRHP	New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USC	United States Code
ZVI	Zone of Visual Impact



Executive Summary

TRC Companies (TRC) conducted a Historic Architectural Resources Survey in the Towns of Burke and Chateaugay, Franklin County, New York, in support of the application by Brookside Solar, LLC, for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need from the New York State Board on Electric Generation Siting and the Environment under Section 94-c of the New York Executive Law to develop a 100 MW solar generating facility.

The purpose of the survey is to identify the presence of historic architectural properties aged fifty years or older within the area of potential effects (APE), evaluate these architectural resources for their eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), and provide an assessment of potential effects of the Project on those resources that are listed in, previously determined eligible for listing in, or recommended eligible for listing in the NRHP.

TRC conducted the survey between July 6 and 8, 2021, identifying thirty-six architectural resources aged fifty years or older in the ZVI. TRC Architectural Historians surveyed thirty-three previously identified resources and three newly identified resources. Of the previously surveyed resources, twenty-eight were NRHP-eligible, three were undetermined, and one was not eligible. TRC recommends the undetermined resource as not eligible and that most of the not eligible resource remain as such: not eligible. The one exception is the House at 15 East Road, which was previously determined not NRHP-eligible. TRC recommends this resource be reassigned as eligible. Of the three newly identified architectural resources aged fifty years old or older, TRC recommends one as NRHP-eligible. TRC recommends the remaining two newly surveyed resources not eligible for NRHP listing due to lack of architectural or historical significance.

TRC concludes that while the Project has no potential to physically affect any historic architectural properties, it does have the potential to visually affect historic architectural properties within the ZVI. However, the effects will not be adverse because the Project will not significantly affect the NRHP qualifying characteristics of any NRHP-recommended eligible architectural resources in the ZVI.

1.0 Introduction

Brookside Solar, LLC, proposes to construct the Brookside Solar Project in the Towns of Burke and Chateaugay, Franklin County, New York (Attachment A, Figure 1). This Historic Architectural Resources Survey was conducted to ensure that the Project is developed in compliance with both state and federal laws and regulations, including Section 14.09 of New York State Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law and guidelines.

1.1 Project Description

The Project will consist of the construction and operation of a solar arrays with a maximum generating capability of 100 Megawatts (MW) with a 20 MW battery storage component. The Project will span approximately 1,000 acres and plans to connect to the nearby Chateaugay-Willis 115kV transmission line. The Project may consist of tracking technology PV panels installed on low-profile racking systems mounted on poles driven directly into the ground. Inverters are spaced throughout the Project. The substation will take the power from the inverters and step it up to match the voltage of the electrical grid. A protective fence will surround the Project. Temporary laydown and staging areas will be used during construction to store and position vehicles and equipment. The final solar array specification, as well as locations of arrays, will be finalized as part of micro-siting efforts. Brookside Solar will interconnect to the power grid via a new point of interconnect (POI) adjacent to National Grid's 115 kilovolt (kV) Chateaugay substation located near the proposed Project Area. The final solar array specification, as well as locations of arrays, will be finalized as part of micro-siting efforts. The Project Area consists of agricultural fields and wooded areas.

2.0 Regulatory Framework

The Project is being permitted under New York State's Section 94-c Regulations. Because the Project will also require a Nationwide Permit from the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), the architectural survey was conducted in compliance with Section 106 of the National

Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA), as amended, and its implementing regulations at 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 800.

2.1 Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

Section 106 of the NHPA, as amended, 54 United States Code (USC) 306108, requires federal agencies to take into account the effects of undertakings they carry out, license, permit, or fund to historic properties and provide the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) a reasonable opportunity to comment with regard to such undertakings. For the purposes of this architectural survey, the undertaking was defined as the construction of the Project.

The ACHP has issued the regulations that set forth the process through which federal agencies comply with these responsibilities. Those regulations are codified under 36 CFR Part 800. For the purposes of this architectural survey, the lead federal agency for the Section 106 process would be the USACE. Section 106 of the NHPA identifies the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), housed within the Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP), as having an advisory role within the Section 106 compliance process.

2.2 Section 94-c of the New York State Executive Law

New York State Department of Public Service involvement in the Project is mandated by Section 94-c of the New York State Executive Law that provides guidance for cultural resources review. In 2021, the State of New York enacted the Accelerated Renewable Energy Growth and Community Benefit Act, which adds a new Section 94-c to the Executive Law. Section 94-c establishes an expedited review process with uniform standards and conditions for renewable energy facilities with a nameplate generating capacity of 25 megawatts or more, such as the proposed Facility.

New York Historic Preservation Act (NYHPA) of 1980 (Chapter 354 of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law) established a review process for state agency activities affecting historic or cultural properties, requiring consultation with the Commissioner of the OPRHP. Under Section 14.09, Subchapter A Part 428.2(a), of the NYHPA, for those projects that require review

by the Commissioner of the OPRHP as the State Historic Preservation Officer in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA, the OPRHP's review process follows Section 106 of the NHPA and its implementing regulations at 36 CFR § 800 (Public Law 89-665, as amended by Public Law 96-515; 16 USC 470 et seq.).

2.3 Area of Potential Effects

Under Section 106 of the NHPA, the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for cultural resources is the “geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause changes in the character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist” (36 CFR § 800.16 [d]). The scale and nature of a project influence the APE, resulting in delineated areas of effects that may be different for different kinds of effects caused by the undertaking. Direct effects occur in the area of physical impacts associated with construction and within the viewshed of the project, beyond construction limits. Indirect effects also occur beyond the construction limits, may be delayed in time, cumulative in nature, or vary depending on the nature of the undertaking. Consistent with the terminology used in the July 30, 2020, OPRHP Guidelines, the term ZVI will be used in place of the term APE. The ZVI for the Project includes both categories of effects.

TRC initiated consultation with OPRHP on June 15, 2020. OPRHP responded with recommendations for a Historic Architecture Survey, as follows: “1) Complete a GIS analysis of areas that will have positive visibility of the solar field based upon topography only (do not factor in vegetation); 2) A survey of all properties fifty years old or older within 2 miles of the solar array should be completed; 3) Identification of any New York State and/or National Register listed property or district or National Historic Landmark within 5 miles of [the] project with positive visibility.” The response also indicated that only properties with positive visibility within the two-mile radius of the solar array should be surveyed. OPRHP, in its review comments received on September 16 and 28, 2020, through the Cultural Resources Information System (CRIS), requested a Historic Architecture Survey be conducted. On October 30, 2020, TRC provided OPRHP with methodology for the survey. The methodology stated that the ZVI is defined as areas within the two-mile radius of the solar field that the bare earth topography visibility modelling shows will have positive visibility of the Project. On December 23, 2020, OPRHP approved TRC's methodology and initiated a Trekker survey for the Project. Communications regarding the

definition of the ZVI and the methodology for the Historic Architectural Resources Survey are available in the Project's Cultural Resources Information System (CRIS) database and in Attachment D.

3.0 Technical Approach

3.1 Survey Design

Per the approved methodology, TRC's Historic Architectural Resources Survey revisited two classifications of architectural resources within the ZVI: (1) historic properties listed in or previously determined eligible for listing in the NRHP and (2) previously identified but unevaluated architectural resources. TRC also identified new architectural resources that have not been identified in previous cultural resource surveys and appeared to meet NRHP eligibility criteria, as indicated in the methodology approved by OPRHP, including cemeteries.

TRC followed National Park Service Guidelines in National Register Bulletin 24, *Guidelines for Local Surveys: A Basis for Preservation Planning* (NPS 1990), and National Register Bulletin 15, *How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation* (NPS 1997), to reassess the NRHP eligibility of previously identified architectural resources within the ZVI based on existing conditions and to evaluate the NRHP eligibility of newly identified architectural resources within the ZVI.

The Historic Architectural Resources Survey included historical research and field reconnaissance to contextualize, evaluate, and fully document all resources within the ZVI. For all historic properties identified during the survey—i.e. those previously undetermined and recommended eligible for listing, and newly surveyed and recommended eligible for listing in the NRHP—TRC assessed potential visual effects of the undertaking (Table 3). Application of Criteria of Adverse Effect appears in Section 6 of this report.

3.2 Background Research

Background research included a review of NRHP databases, OPRHP's CRIS survey data, historical maps, aerial photographs, secondary historical sources, online county tax parcel data, and county histories. The historic context developed from this background research is presented in Section 4.0.

3.3 Field Methods

TRC conducted the Historic Architectural Resources field survey of the Project ZVI according to the methodology approved by OPRHP on December 23, 2020. The field survey consisted of revisiting all previously recorded resources and documenting newly identified architectural resources fifty years old or older that appeared to meet NRHP eligibility criteria within the Project ZVI. Field survey included systematically driving or walking all public roads within the ZVI to identify resources present. TRC assessed all resources from public rights of way. Per guidance from OPRHP (Attachment D; 24 August 2020), buildings within the project ZVI were surveyed and inventoried into CRIS Trekker by TRC architectural historians.

TRC field-checked and photographed all previously identified NRHP-eligible properties to record existing conditions and reassess their current NRHP status. Each previously identified but unevaluated resource and each newly identified resource was documented via field notes and photography, and resource inventory forms were initiated using CRIS Mobile Pro and Survey123 in the field. TRC used CRIS Trekker to complete resource inventory forms, which included georeferenced locations, physical descriptions, materials, condition, integrity, and other noteworthy characteristics of each resource, as well as proposed eligibility for NRHP listing. The results of the field survey are presented in Section 5.0.

4.0 Historic Context

4.1 Introduction

The following historic overview presents historical developments that influenced the region's built landscape to contextualize the architectural resources in the APE. The context follows broad outlines of events in New York history as they relate to settlement, subsistence, housing, and

community development in the project area, paying particular attention to how the built environment reflects significant events and ideas.

4.2 Iroquois Settlement

Archaeological evidence suggests the region that includes present-day Franklin County was first occupied approximately 7,000 years ago. Prior to European settlement, the area was mostly occupied by Iroquoian people in settlements sometime after 1200 CE (Eisenstadt 2005: 598).

The dominant tribes living in the New York region at the time of European contact were the Hodinöhsö:ni', meaning "people who build a house". The Haudenosaunee, a confederacy of Iroquoian-speaking tribes, originally included the Mohawk, Oneida, Onondaga, Cayuga, and Seneca. Sharing a similar culture and language, they organized along extended family groups called clans that were both matrilineal and matrilocal. Located in clearings near forested areas and waterways, palisades often encircled and protected their villages (Graymont 2005: 1-3; O'Callaghan 1849: 11-14). The longhouse utilized a wood frame and birch bark, elm bark, animal skins, and grass mats for exterior cladding. Rectilinear in plan, longhouses ranged from 100 feet or more in length to about 18 feet wide with interior wood pole scaffolding. They housed approximately fifteen families and sheltered food stored throughout the building (Storke 1879: 28-29; Roth and Roth Clark 2016: 19). Communities relocated every 20 to 30 years, as localized resources were depleted. According to Beauchamp (1900), the Hodinöhsö:ni' League formed in ca. A.D. 1600, while Tooker (1978: 239) provides a broader range of A.D. 1400 to 1600.

Mohawk tribes established a settlement known as Akwesasne near present-day Bombay in Franklin County. The settlement later became known as Saint Régis by French Jesuit missionaries, after Jean François Régis, the priest who canonized as a Catholic saint in 1737. The settlement was established in 1755 by Catholic Iroquois families, primarily Mohawk, who traveled upriver from the mission village of Caughnawaga, Quebec. The St. Regis Mohawk Reservation is still extant today (Eisenstadt 2005: 598).

4.3 Settlement in the Early American Republic

The 1784 Treaty of Fort Stanwix and the 1794 Treaty of Canandaigua resulted in the Iroquoian people losing control over most of their homeland in Franklin County, as well as large swaths of territory in Ohio and Pennsylvania (Eisenstadt 2005: 1575-1576). Consequently, large portions of northern New York opened for Euro-American settlement. Part of what is now Franklin County was once part of land known as the Onondaga Military Tract (1786), which was land set aside by New York State to sell to veterans of the American Revolution to settle. The land was intended as an incentive to promote enlistments into military service during the war. The towns of Burke, Chateaugay, Belmont, and Franklin were part of this tract. The rest of Franklin County, as well as Lewis, Jefferson, and Oswego counties, were part of what was known as Macomb's Purchase, a four-million-acre tract acquired by Alexander Macomb from the state and subdivided by a number of prominent landowners (Seaver 1912: 7; Eisenstadt 2005: 598).

The land that comprises present-day Chateaugay was settled in 1796 by Benjamin Roberts and Nathan Berman following their survey of the area during the previous year. More European-born settlers arrived in the area over the next several years. Most of the settlers came from hardscrabble hill farms in western Vermont. They arrived in the Saint Lawrence Valley looking for fertile soils and level land. By March 1799, there was sufficient population in the area to declare Chateaugay a township within what was then Clinton County. By 1800, the U.S. census counted a population of 443 in the town. In 1808, Franklin County was created from Clinton County. In April 1844, the town of Burke was established from a portion of Chateaugay (Chateaugay Historical Society). Due to vast distance from urban areas, the built environment emerged through replication of vernacular practices developed in local circumstances, rather than through the design of architects or highly trained carpenters and masons.

While no full-scale military action occurred in the vicinity of Chateaugay during the War of 1812, proximity to Canada made Franklin County a potential invasion route and vulnerable to British raids. The county was in a constant state of fear of invasion. The area served as a staging ground for American troops before they traveled to Canada to fight the Battle of Chateaugay (Quebec). In October 1813, 400 casualties from the Battle of Chrysler's Farm near Cornwall, Ontario, arrived in the Franklin County village of Malone. The village was overwhelmed by the influx, and several

public buildings became temporary hospitals for the wounded. Later that month, the village was attacked and ransacked by British soldiers (Eisenstadt 2005: 598).

4.4 Nineteenth-Century Economic Growth

The earliest industry in Franklin County was potash production, which was a process by which trees were felled and burned in large piles, ashes were leached, and lye was boiled to dryness. Making potash was also a solution to disposing of the large quantities of timber created when land was cleared for farms, roads, and houses. Around the same time, logging became an important industry to the region. Other early industries included agriculture, particularly the raising of hops (primarily for beer brewing) and potatoes, iron ore mining, and milling. Proximity to Canada allowed for obvious economic connections (Seaver 1912: 217; Eisenstadt 2005: 598).

During the 1850s, the opening of the Northern Railroad (later the Ogdensburg and Lake Champlain Railroad) brought increased prosperity to Franklin County and the towns of Chateaugay and Burke. Industry and agriculture flourished as goods, including machine produced building products, could be shipped more quickly and much farther. By 1901, the railroad running through Chateaugay and Burke was operated by the Rutland Railroad. The Rutland Railroad Depot in Burke opened in the 1890s. The principal settlement in Burke was near the railroad, extending north and south of the depot. In 1856, Chateaugay was ravaged by a tornado that destroyed or damaged 128 buildings in the town (Seaver 1912: 217; Chateaugay Historical Society).

By the mid-nineteenth century, dairy production became a prosperous industry for the county. The first creamery opened in the county in 1869. By 1894, there were forty-two creameries in the county. The southern portion of the county was home to a series of sanatoriums for the treatment of tuberculosis and other ailments. What was known as an open-air “rest cure” made the Adirondacks and the Saranac Lake area nationally famous. Although they had once been a barrier to settlement, the Adirondacks served as a draw for tourists by the late nineteenth century (Seaver 1912: 27).

4.5 Franklin County in the Twentieth Century

The twentieth century brought significant changes to the Franklin County economy. In 1900, farmers in the county still worked 500,000 acres noted in the 1875 state census. Dairy production continued to flourish in the first decades of the century. Between 1903 and 1904, Burke produced approximately 321,000 pounds of butter. Chateaugay had an output of over 250,000 pounds during this same period (Eisenstadt 2005: 599). This phase of agricultural production resulted in a transformation of agrarian land-use patterns, as specialized outbuildings appeared on farmsteads.

Beginning in 1929, the Great Depression had a profound impact on farmers in the region, particularly dairy farmers. Demand decreased and prices declined. Eisenstadt writes that because Franklin County was “tucked away in a politically less influential part of the state,” Franklin County farmers lacked the political or financial power to demand attention from the state. Desperate for change, many farmers joined the Dairy Farmers Union (DFU) and joined a 1939 milk strike. Despite the efforts of the union, however, between 1920 and 2000, the number acres of farmland in the county fell from 500,000 to less than 250,000. Although dairy farming continued in the county, the industry was never able to recapture its former, pre-Depression glory (Eisenstadt 2005: 599). The assortment of farm buildings that developed prior to the Depression is evident in only a few farmsteads in the region due to demolition and neglect.

Similarly, over the course of the mid-twentieth century, a significant number of mills closed and iron mining ceased entirely. In the face of such industrial change, the economy of Franklin County was forced to adapt. Tourism and recreation, instead, became primary economic drivers. Winter sports became especially lucrative for the region. The village of Tupper Lake opened downhill ski facilities in 1960. Three state prison facilities built over the course of the 1980s and 1990s near Malone served as some of the important employers in the county (Eisenstadt 2005: 599).

5.0 Survey Results and Evaluation of Architectural Properties

5.1 Previously Identified Resources in the ZVI

A search of the OPRHP CRIS database identified thirty-three previously identified architectural resources in the ZVI (i.e. resources with positive visibility of the solar array within a two-mile radius of the solar arrays, based on bare-earth topography; Figure 2). Of the thirty-three resources

identified, thirty are NRHP-eligible, three have an undetermined status, and one is determined not eligible (Table 1). Per the approved OPRHP methodology for this Historic Architectural Resources Survey, architectural resources that were previously determined not eligible for NRHP listing do not require further consideration, with one exception. The House at 15 East Road was previously determined not NRHP-eligible. TRC recommends this resource be reassigned as eligible.

Of the thirty-three previously identified architectural resources in the ZVI, two are within the parcel boundaries of the Project, but both resources are recommended as not NRHP-eligible. Full descriptions of surveyed resources, including photographs, are located in an appendix at the end of this report.

Table 1: Previously Identified Resources in the ZVI

USN	Name	Current CRIS NRHP Eligibility Status	Within Project Parcel (Project Area)
03308.000079	Atwater Cemetery - Martin Road	Eligible	No
03345.000092	First Presbyterian Church - 214 East Main Street	Eligible	No
03345.000090	House - 6 Franklin Street	Eligible	No
03345.000089	House - 5 Franklin Street	Eligible	No
03345.000085	House - 20 Church Street	Eligible	No
03345.000084	House - 16 Church Street	Eligible	No
03345.000094	Chateaugay United Methodist Church - 5 Church Street	Eligible	No
03345.000087	House - 36 Depot Street	Eligible	No
03345.000088	Bailey House - 43 Depot Street	Eligible	No
03345.000002	Rutland Railroad Depot - 45 Depot Street	Eligible	No
03345.000083	House - 14 Lake Street	Eligible	No
03345.000091	House (demolished) - 94 West Main Street	Eligible	No
03308.000102	Farm - 5940 State Route 11	Undetermined	Yes
03308.000081	Brayton Hollow Cemetery - Chasm Road	Eligible	No
03307.000055	Farm - 474 Jamison Line Road	Eligible	No
03344.000012	House - 1035 West Main Street	Eligible	No
03307.000044	House - 15 East Road	Not Eligible	No
03307.000051	Burke Center Presbyterian Church - 263 County Route 34	Eligible	No
03344.000001	House - 29 Mill Street	Eligible	No
03307.000047	Mitchell Cemetery - Montgomery Road	Eligible	No

USN	Name	Current CRIS NRHP Eligibility Status	Within Project Parcel (Project Area)
03307.000043	Ridgeway Cemetery - Cook Road	Eligible	No
03308.000068	Farm - 165 Cemetery Road	Eligible	No
03345.000001 and 03308.000017	Farm of Gates Hoyt - 162 Cemetery Road	Undetermined and Eligible	No
03344.000002	House - 26 Mill Street	Eligible	No
03308.000072	House - 528 Hartnett Road	Eligible	No
03307.000060	Barn - 1481 County Route 23	Undetermined	Yes
03344.000003	House - 9 Mill Street	Eligible	No
04548.000038	Garage - 1033 West Main Street	Eligible	No
03344.000011	Burke United Methodist Church - 1027 West Main Street	Eligible	No
03344.000007	Burke Town Hall / I. O. O. F. - 842 Depot Street	Eligible	No
03307.000045	Bova House - 5717 State Route 11	Eligible	No
03308.000070	St. Patrick's Cemetery - 294 Cemetery Road	Eligible	No
03345.000065	Chateaugay Village Historic District	Eligible	No

5.2 Surveyed Resources in the ZVI

TRC identified a total of thirty-six architectural resources in the ZVI, consisting of the thirty-three previously identified resources mentioned above and an additional three newly identified architectural resources aged fifty years old or older. TRC recommends the three previously undetermined resources not NRHP-eligible. TRC recommends the one previously surveyed resource determined not eligible, the House at 15 East Road should be reassigned as eligible. TRC also recommends that one previously surveyed resource determined eligible, the House at 94 West Main Street, be reassigned as not eligible due to demolition.

Of the three newly identified architectural resources aged fifty years old or older, TRC recommends one NRHP-eligible. TRC recommends the remaining two newly identified architectural resources not eligible for NRHP listing due to lack of architectural or historical significance.

No newly identified resources within the ZVI are located within the property boundaries for the Project or Project LOD. Although two previously surveyed resources are located within the Project Area, they are recommended not eligible.

Table 2 provides the results of the field survey and a summary of TRC's eligibility recommendations. All previously surveyed resources are indicated as such with their USN. Figure 3 in Attachment A is a map of all surveyed resources in the ZVI.



Table 2: Architectural Resources in the ZVI; NRHP Eligibility Recommendations

Name	Address	Existing USN	Style	Construction Date	Current NRHP Status	Recommended NRHP Status	Inside ZVI, Project Area, or LOD
Atwater Cemetery	Martin Road	03308.000079	No style	ca. 1841	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
First Presbyterian Church	214 East Main Street	03345.000092	Romanesque Revival	ca. 1902	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
House	6 Franklin Street	03345.000090	Folk Victorian	ca. 1885	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
House	5 Franklin Street	03345.000089	Vernacular	ca. 1890	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
House	20 Church Street	03345.000085	Folk Victorian	ca. 1890	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
House	16 Church Street	03345.000084	Vernacular	ca. 1890	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Chateaugay United Methodist Church	5 Church Street	03345.000094	Gothic Revival	ca. 1880	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
House	36 Depot Street	03345.000087	Queen Anne	ca. 1895	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Bailey House	43 Depot Street	03345.000088	Vernacular	ca. 1814	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Rutland Railroad Depot	45 Depot Street	03345.000002	Vernacular	ca. 1890	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
House	14 Lake Street	03345.000083	American Foursquare	ca. 1906	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
House - demolished	94 West Main Street	03345.000091	No style	ca. 1850	Eligible	Not Eligible	ZVI
Farm	5940 State Route 11	03308.000102	Vernacular	ca. 1880	Undetermined	Not Eligible	ZVI
Brayton Hollow Cemetery	Chasm Road	03308.000081	No style	ca. 1825	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Farm	474 Jamison Line Road	03307.000055	Vernacular	ca. 1850	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
House	1035 West Main Street	03344.000012	Italianate	ca. 1910	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
House	15 East Road	03307.000044	Vernacular	ca. 1856	Not Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Burke Center Presbyterian Church	263 County Route 34	03307.000051	Vernacular	ca. 1902	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI

Name	Address	Existing USN	Style	Construction Date	Current NRHP Status	Recommended NRHP Status	Inside ZVI, Project Area, or LOD
House	29 Mill Street	03344.000001	Craftsman	ca. 1910	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Mitchell Cemetery	Montgomery Road	03307.000047	No style	ca. 1844	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Ridgeway Cemetery	Cook Road	03307.000043	No style	ca. 1850	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Farm	165 Cemetery Road	03308.000068	Vernacular	ca. 1895	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Farm of Gates Hoit	162 Cemetery Road	03345.000001 and 03308.000017	Vernacular	ca. 1905	Undetermined and Eligible	Not Eligible	ZVI
House	26 Mill Street	03344.000002	Vernacular	ca. 1890	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
House	528 Hartnett Road	03308.000072	Greek Revival	ca. 1840	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Barn	1481 County Route 23	03307.000060	No style	ca. 1990	Undetermined	Not Eligible	ZVI
House	9 Mill Street	03344.000003	Queen Anne	ca. 1890	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Garage	1033 West Main Street	04548.000038	Vernacular	ca. 1900	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Burke United Methodist Church	1027 West Main Street	03344.000011	Vernacular	ca. 1880	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Burke Town Hall / I. O. O. F.	842 Depot Street	03344.000007	Vernacular	ca. 1905	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
Bova House	5717 State Route 11	03307.000045	Greek Revival	ca. 1856	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
St. Patrick's Cemetery	294 Cemetery Road	03308.000070	No style	ca. 1844	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI
House	1207 County Route 23	N/A	Greek Revival	ca. 1850	N/A	Eligible	ZVI
Farm	333 Quarry Road	N/A	Vernacular	ca. 1920	N/A	Not Eligible	ZVI
Farm	224 Cook Road	N/A	Vernacular	ca. 1890	N/A	Not Eligible	ZVI

Name	Address	Existing USN	Style	Construction Date	Current NRHP Status	Recommended NRHP Status	Inside ZVI, Project Area, or LOD
Chateaugay Village Historic District	various addresses	03345.000065	No style	N/A	Eligible	Eligible	ZVI



5.3 Listed, Eligible, and Recommended Eligible Resources in the ZVI

TRC has identified thirty-six historic properties in the APE, thirty-three of which have been previously identified and three of which have been newly identified. Of the thirty-three previously identified resources, twenty-eight were previously determined NRHP-eligible, three were determined undetermined, and one was determined not eligible. One previously determined eligible resource should be determined not eligible due to demolition. One resource previously determined not eligible has been reassigned as eligible. Three resources previously assigned an undetermined status have been recommended as not eligible. TRC recommends one of the newly surveyed resources as eligible for NRHP listing. Descriptions of the thirty recommended listed, eligible, and recommended eligible resources in the ZVI are included in the sections below.

5.3.1 Atwater Cemetery, Martin Road (USN 03308.000079)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1841 cemetery that has noteworthy historical associations.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criteria A and C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact. It is also potentially eligible under Criterion D.

5.3.2 First Presbyterian Church, 214 East Main Street (USN 03345.000092)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1902, Romanesque Revival-style church with style and noteworthy features that include brick exterior walls, a prominent corner bell tower capped by a pyramidal roof, and Romanesque-style, round-arched windows. It also has noteworthy historical associations.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criteria A and C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.3 House, 6 Franklin Street (USN 03345.000090)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1885, two-story, Folk Victorian-style house with noteworthy style and features that include wood brackets in the roof eaves and a full-width, hipped, front porch.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.4 House, 5 Franklin Street (USN 03345.000089)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1890, two-story, vernacular-style house with noteworthy style and features that include a chamfered southwest corner fitted with decorative brackets.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.5 House, 20 Church Street (USN 03345.000085)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1890, one-and-a-half-story, Folk Victorian-style house with noteworthy style and features that include weatherboard siding, with a band of fish-scale shingles across the gable end.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.6 House, 16 Church Street (USN 03345.000084)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1890, two-story, vernacular-style house with noteworthy style.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.7 Chateaugay United Methodist Church, 5 Church Street (USN 03345.000094)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1880, Gothic Revival-style church with noteworthy style and features that include brick exterior walls fitted with corbel tables on the front façade, a prominent corner bell tower capped by a pyramidal roof, and Gothic-style, pointed-arched windows. It also has noteworthy historical associations.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criteria A and C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.8 House, 36 Depot Street (USN 03345.000087)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1895, two-and-a-half-story, Queen Anne-style house with noteworthy style and features that include a steeply pitched, side-gable roof with a projecting, front-gable bay.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.9 Bailey House, 43 Depot Street (USN 03345.000088)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1814, one-and-a-half-story, vernacular-style house with noteworthy historical association.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion B. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.10 Rutland Railroad Depot, 45 Depot Street (USN 03345.000002)

This resource is in the ZVI, but outside the Project Area and LOD.

The Rutland Railroad Depot was constructed circa-1890 with noteworthy style and features load-bearing brick walls and a hipped roof featuring overhanging eaves supported by wood brackets. It also has noteworthy historical associations.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criteria A and C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.11 House, 14 Lake Street (USN 03345.000083)

This resource is in the ZVI, but outside the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1906, two-story, American Foursquare-style home with noteworthy style and features that include weatherboard siding on the first story and wood shingles cladding the second story.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.12 Brayton Hollow Cemetery, Chasm Road (USN 03308.000081)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1825 cemetery with noteworthy historical associations.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criteria A and C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact. It is also potentially eligible under Criterion D.

5.3.13 Farm, 474 Jamison Line Road (USN 03307.000055)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a farm that consists of a circa-1850, one-and-a-half-story, vernacular-style farmhouse and a three-gable ground barn. It is noteworthy as intact example of a nineteenth-century farm.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under Criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.14 House, 1035 West Main Street (USN 03344.000012)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a two-story, circa-1910, Italianate-style house with noteworthy style and features that include brick exterior walls and decorative brackets under the roof eaves.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.15 House, 15 East Road (USN 03307.000044)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1856, two-story, Greek Revival-style home with noteworthy style and features that sandstone exterior cladding on every elevation exception for the north elevation, which has aluminum siding.

The resource was previously determined not eligible for NRHP listing. The resource is recommended eligible for NRHP listing under Criterion C at the local level. The resource employs notable features of Greek Revival-style architecture, including gable-end returns. The house also retains its sandstone exterior cladding. The main block retains a high degree of integrity in materials, workmanship, and design. The side addition does not compromise the integrity of the original house. No evidence points to any resident of this house being noteworthy in local, state, or national events. The property is not recommended eligible for NRHP listing under any other NRHP criteria of significance (36 CFR 60.4 [a-c]). An assessment of NRHP eligibility cannot be made at this time as archaeological investigations have not been completed. The recommended NRHP boundary follows the current tax parcel (approximately 0.5 acres). Setting is not a contributing feature to the property. The property does not contribute to any nearby historic district.

5.3.16 Burke Center Presbyterian Church, 263 County Route 34 (USN 03307.000051)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1902, vernacular-style church with noteworthy style and features that include a prominent corner bell tower. The resource also has noteworthy historical associations.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.17 House, 29 Mill Street (USN 03344.000001)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a one-and-a-half-story, circa-1910, Craftsman-style bungalow with noteworthy style and features including Craftsman-style stone columns on brick column bases and signature bungalow massing—one and a half stories under a side-gable roof pierced by a shed dormer.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.18 Mitchell Cemetery, Montgomery Road (USN 03307.000047)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1844 cemetery with noteworthy historical associations.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criteria A and C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact. It is also potentially eligible under Criterion D.

5.3.19 Ridgeway Cemetery, Cook Road (USN 03307.000043)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1844 cemetery with historical associations.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criteria A and C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact. It is also potentially eligible under Criterion D.

5.3.20 Farm, 165 Cemetery Road (USN 03308.000068)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1895 farm that consists of a farmhouse, barn, shed, and silos. It is noteworthy as an intact example of a nineteenth-century farm.

The farm resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.21 House, 26 Mill Street (USN 03344.000002)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a two-story, circa-1890, Queen Anne-style house with noteworthy style and features that include a prominent corner turret.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.22 House, 528 Hartnett Road (USN 03308.000072)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1840, Greek Revival-style house with noteworthy style and features that include gable-end returns and a decorative door surround with sidelights and a cornice.

The resource was previously determined eligible under criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.23 House, 9 Mill Street (USN 03344.000003)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a two-story, circa-1890, Queen Anne-style house with noteworthy style and features that include weatherboard siding and chamfered corners.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.24 Garage, 1033 West Main Street (USN 04548.000038)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a one-story, circa-1900, vernacular-style garage with noteworthy style and features that include asbestos siding.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.25 Burke United Methodist Church, 1027 West Main Street (USN 03344.000011)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1880, vernacular-style church with noteworthy style and features that include a prominent corner bell tower and weatherboard siding. The resource also has notable historical associations.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criteria A and C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.26 Burke Town Hall / I. O. O. F., 842 Depot Street (USN 03344.000007)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a two-story building that serves as Burke Town Hall. It was formerly home to the International Order of the Oddfellows (I.O.O.F.). The building has noteworthy style and features that include pressed-metal exterior cladding. The resource also has noteworthy historical associations.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criteria A and C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.27 Bova House, 5717 State Route 11 (USN 03307.000045)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a circa-1856, two-story Greek Revival-style home with noteworthy style and features that include stone exterior walls.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under Criterion C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.28 St. Patrick's Cemetery, 294 Cemetery Road (USN 03308.000070)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

Established in 1844, Saint Patrick's Cemetery has noteworthy historical associations.

The resource was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criteria A and C. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.29 Chateaugay Village Historic District, various addresses (USN 03345.000065)

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

The Chateaugay Historic District is centered on the intersection of East and West Main and Depot streets. Although some buildings are no longer extant, the district contains the most intact collection of late-nineteenth and early-twentieth-century commercial, residential, and institutional buildings in the village. The historic district appears to remain in the same condition as it was when it was last surveyed in 2015.

The Chateaugay Village Historic District was previously determined eligible for NRHP listing under criterion A as the intact commercial hub of a small North Country village. Investigation of the site suggests this determination should remain intact.

5.3.30 House, 1207 County Route 23

This resource is located within the ZVI, but outside of the Project Area and LOD.

This is a one-and-a-half-story, circa-1820, Greek Revival-style house. It is composed of a one-and-a-half-story, front-gable main block and a one-story, side-gable, side (southwest) addition. The main block features exposed sandstone walls. The addition rests on a stone foundation and is clad with vinyl siding. The roofs of both masses are covered with standing-seam metal. The main block features gable-end returns, a signature feature of Greek Revival-style architecture. The three-bay front (southeast) façade features an off-center front door in the southwestern-most bay. The opening for the door is set within a larger recession in the façade. The recession is clad with wood siding. The other bays are defined by windows. The main block is illuminated by one-over-one, double-hung sash, wood windows with stone sills. The addition has six-over-one,

double-hung sash, vinyl windows. Fronting the house is an uncovered porch that stretches across approximately three-fourths of the front façade.

North of the house is a gabled, two-car garage. It is clad with board-and-batten siding. The roof is covered with standing-seam metal.

The resource is recommended eligible for NRHP listing under Criterion C at the local level. The resource employs notable features of Greek Revival architecture, include gable-end returns, as well as sandstone exterior walls. The house retains a high degree of integrity in materials, workmanship, and design. No evidence points to any resident of this house being noteworthy in local, state, or national events. The property is not recommended eligible for NRHP listing under any other NRHP criteria of significance (36 CFR 60.4 [a-c]). An assessment of NRHP eligibility cannot be made at this time as archaeological investigations have not been completed. The recommended NRHP boundary follows the current tax parcel (approximately 1.35 acres). Setting is not a contributing feature to the property. The property does not contribute to any nearby historic district.

6.0 Project Effects Analysis

As discussed above in Section 5.0, TRC surveyed a total of thirty-six architectural resources in the ZVI. Based on results of the Historic Architectural Survey, thirty of these architectural resources are considered historic properties based on their NRHP eligibility status or recommendations. These consist of twenty-eight previously surveyed resources that were determined eligible, one resource previously determined not eligible that TRC recommends be reassigned as eligible, and one newly identified resource that is recommended eligible as a result of this survey.

None of the recommended eligible resources are in the Project Area or LOD. TRC provides a preliminary assessment of Project effects for each of the thirty NRHP-listed, eligible, or recommended eligible resources identified in the ZVI in the subsections below. A summary of the preliminary assessment of Project effects is presented in Table 3.

The remaining six architectural resources, including four previously surveyed resources, and two newly surveyed resources, are recommended not eligible for NRHP listing due to their lack of architectural merit, historic significance, or demolition. TRC has not conducted an assessment of Project effects on these resources because they are not considered historic properties.

An undertaking has an effect on a historic property if the undertaking may alter the characteristics of the historic property that qualify it for inclusion in the NRHP by diminishing its integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association.

Consideration is given to all qualifying characteristics of a historic property, including those that may have been identified subsequent to the original evaluation of the property's eligibility for the NRHP.

Adverse effects may include physical destruction; alterations inconsistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards; removal from original location; change in character of use or setting; introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish integrity of significance; neglect; and transfer by sale or lease out of federal (or state, if applicable) ownership. Adverse effects may also include reasonably foreseeable effects caused by the undertaking that may occur later in time, be farther removed in distance, or be cumulative. An adverse effect finding maybe addressed and resolved through agreed-upon measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate the adverse effect.

Construction of the Project will not require demolition or physical alteration of any NRHP-eligible or recommended eligible historic properties within the ZVI. Therefore, no physical effects on historic properties are anticipated as a result of the Project. However, construction of the Project has the potential to result in visual effects on recommended eligible historic properties in the ZVI. The undertaking's potential to affect any historic property depends upon that historic property's NRHP qualifying characteristics. If a historic property's setting is less important to its significance than its architectural merit or historic qualities, then changes to setting may not adversely diminish the qualities or character-defining features that support a historic property's NRHP eligibility. Therefore, the undertaking would have no adverse effect on a historic property.

All thirty historic properties that bare-earth viewshed modeling suggests may have some level of visibility of Project components are located outside of the Project Area, and therefore beyond the LOD. All thirty historic properties evaluated as part of the Historic Architectural Resources Survey have significantly reduced potential for views of the Project due to distance or intervening visual screening such as vegetation, development, or roadways. Thus, the Project will not introduce new visual elements into views from these properties that would affect character-defining features that contribute to their historic significance and NRHP eligibility and will have no adverse effect on these properties.

Table 3 lists the historic properties within the ZVI and provides an effects recommendation based on Criteria of Adverse Effect analysis.

Table 3: Summary of Preliminary Project Effects to Historic Properties

Name	Address	Current NRHP Status	Recommended NRHP Status	Effects Recommendation	Inside ZVI, Project Area, or LOD?
Atwater Cemetery	Martin Road	Listed	Listed	No adverse effect	ZVI
First Presbyterian Church	214 East Main Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	6 Franklin Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	5 Franklin Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	20 Church Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	16 Church Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Chateaugay United Methodist Church	5 Church Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	36 Depot Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Bailey House	43 Depot Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Rutland Railroad Depot	45 Depot Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	14 Lake Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House - demolished	94 West Main Street	Eligible	Not Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI

Name	Address	Current NRHP Status	Recommended NRHP Status	Effects Recommendation	Inside ZVI, Project Area, or LOD?
Farm	5940 State Route 11	Undetermined	Not Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Brayton Hollow Cemetery	Chasm Road	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Farm	474 Jamison Line Road	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	1035 West Main Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	15 East Road	Not Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Burke Center Presbyterian Church	263 County Route 34	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	29 Mill Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Mitchell Cemetery	Montgomery Road	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Ridgeway Cemetery	Cook Road	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Farm	165 Cemetery Road	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Farm of Gates Hoit	162 Cemetery Road	Eligible and Undetermined	Not Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	26 Mill Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	528 Hartnett Road	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Barn	1481 County Route 23	Undetermined	Not Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	9 Mill Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Garage	1033 West Main Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Burke United Methodist Church	1027 West Main Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Burke Town Hall / I. O. O. F.	842 Depot Street	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
Bova House	5717 State Route 11	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
St. Patrick's Cemetery	294 Cemetery Road	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI
House	1207 County Route 23	N/A	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI

Name	Address	Current NRHP Status	Recommended NRHP Status	Effects Recommendation	Inside ZVI, Project Area, or LOD?
Chateaugay Village Historic District	N/A	Eligible	Eligible	No adverse effect	ZVI

6.1 Assessment of Effects to Historic Properties

A full analysis for each historic property based on the Criteria of Adverse Effect appears in the following sections (Tables 4 through 33).

6.1.1 Atwater Cemetery, Martin Road, Chateaugay (USN 03308.000079)



View southeast toward Project Area. Photograph taken from Martin Road looking toward resource.

Table 4. Atwater Cemetery Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.

(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The Project directly abuts the property. However, the Project will not affect the use of the property or the architectural features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	The Project introduces a new, visual element beyond the setting of this cemetery. However, vegetation obscures views of the Project from the resource. The setting around the property will not have an impact from the undertaking. Although the construction of this Project has the potential to introduce an effect, it is not an adverse effect. The undertaking, therefore, will not change any of the NRHP qualifying characteristics of the property.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.2 First Presbyterian Church, 214 East Main Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000092)



View west toward Project Area. Photograph taken looking west down Main Street from resource.

Table 5: First Presbyterian Church at 214 East Main Street Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.50 miles west of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However,

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
integrity of the property's significant historic features	because the property is approximately 1.50 miles east of the easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.3 House, 6 Franklin Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000090)



View west toward Project Area. Photograph taken from Franklin Street looking toward resource.

Table 6: House at 6 Franklin Street Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.43 miles west of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.43 miles east of the easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.4 House, 5 Franklin Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000089)



View west toward Project Area. Photograph taken from 5 Franklin Street toward garage of 6 Franklin Street.

Table 7: House at 5 Franklin Street Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.46 miles west of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the resource or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the boundary of the historic property is approximately 1.46 miles east from the easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
	Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic resource.

6.1.5 House, 20 Church Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000085)



View looking west toward Project Area. Photograph taken from sidewalk in front of resource.

Table 8. House at 20 Church Street Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.44 miles west of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.44 miles east of the easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic resource.

6.1.6 House, 16 Church Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000084)



View looking west toward Project Area. Photograph taken from Church Street in front of the resource.

Table 9. House at 16 Church Street Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.41 miles west of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.41 miles east of the easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
	property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.7 Chateaugay United Methodist Church, 5 Church Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000094)



View west toward Project Area. Photograph taken from Church Street in front of resource.

Table 10. Chateaugay United Methodist Church Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.35 miles west of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.35 miles east of the easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
	property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.8 House, 36 Depot Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000087)



View west toward Project Area. Photograph taken from Depot Street, looking toward resource.

Table 11. House at 36 Depot Street Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.26 miles west of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.26 miles east of the easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
	intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.9 Bailey House, 43 Depot Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000088)



View northwest toward Project Area. Photograph taken from drive immediately south of resource.

Table 12. Bailey House Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.31 miles west of the property. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the resource is approximately 1.31 miles east of the easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
	intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.10 Rutland Railroad Depot, 45 Depot Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000002)



View west toward Project Area. Photograph taken in front of resource.

Table 13. Rutland Railroad Depot Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.32 miles west of the property. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the resource is approximately 1.32 miles east of the easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.11 House, 14 Lake Street, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000083)



View west toward Project Area. Photograph was taken from front lawn looking toward resource.

Table 14. House at 14 Lake Street Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.29 miles west of the property. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the resource is approximately 1.29 miles east of the easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
	intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.12 Brayton Hollow Cemetery, Chasm Road, Chateaugay (USN 03308.000081)



View looking southwest toward Project Area. Photograph taken Chasm Road looking toward resource.

Table 15. Brayton Hollow Cemetery Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 0.43 miles southwest of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However,

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
integrity of the property's significant historic features	because the property is approximately 0.43 miles northeast of the northeastern-most portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.13 Farm, 474 Jamison Line Road, Burke (USN 03307.000055)



View looking south toward Project Area. Photograph taken from Jamison Line Road looking toward resource

Table 16. Farm at 474 Jamison Line Road Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.80 miles southeast of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.80 miles northwest of the northwesternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.14 House, 1035 West Main Street, Burke (USN 03344.000012)



View looking east toward project area. Photograph taken in front of resource.

Table 17. House at 1035 West Main Street Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.54 northeast of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.54 miles southwest of the southwestern-most portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
	the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.15 House, 15 East Road, Burke (USN 03307.000044)



View looking northeast toward Project Area. Photograph taken from East Road in front of resource.

Table 18. House at 15 East Road Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 0.11 miles southeast of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	The Project introduces a new, visual element beyond the setting of this house, with the nearest segment of the Project being 0.11 miles southeast of the house. However, vegetation obscures views of the Project from the resource. The setting around the property will not have an impact from the undertaking. Although the construction of this Project has the potential to introduce an effect, it is not an adverse effect. The undertaking, therefore, will not change any of the NRHP qualifying characteristics of the property.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.16 Burke Center Presbyterian Church, 263 County Route 34, Burke (USN 03307.000051)



View looking east toward Project Area. Photograph taken from resource.

Table 19. Burke Center Presbyterian Church Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.93 miles east of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.93 miles east of the easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
	property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.17 House, 29 Mill Street, Burke (USN 03344.000001)



View looking east toward Project Area. Photograph taken from resource.

Table 20. House at 29 Mill Street Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.53 miles east of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.53 miles west of the westernmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.18 Mitchell Cemetery, Montgomery Road, Burke (USN 03307.000047)



View east toward Project Area. Photograph taken from resource.

Table 21. Mitchell Cemetery Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.21 miles northeast of the northeast boundary of the cemetery. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However,

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
integrity of the property's significant historic features	because the property is approximately 1.21 miles southwest of the southwestern-most portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.19 Ridgeway Cemetery, Cook Road, Burke (USN 03307.000043)



View looking northwest toward Project Area. Photograph taken from within resource.

Table 22. Ridgeway Cemetery Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.55 miles northeast of the northeast boundary of the cemetery. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.55 miles southwest of the southwestern-most portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.20 Farm, 165 Cemetery Road, Chateaugay (USN 03308.000068)



View looking west toward Project Area. Photograph taken from Cemetery Road looking toward resource.

Table 23. Farm at 165 Cemetery Road Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 0.40 miles west of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 0.40 miles east of the

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
integrity of the property's significant historic features	easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.21 House, 26 Mill Street, Burke (USN 03344.000002)



View looking east toward Project Area. Photograph taken from Mill Street in front of the resource.

Table 24. House at 26 Mill Street Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.51 miles northeast of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.51 miles southwest of the southwestern-most portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.22 House, 528 Hartnett Road, Chateaugay (USN 03308.000072)



View looking north toward Project Area. Photograph from Hartnett Road in front of the resource.

Table 25. House at 528 Hartnett Road Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.23 miles north of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.23 miles south of the southernmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.23 House, 9 Mill Street, Burke (USN 03344.000003)



View looking east toward Project Area. Photograph taken from Mill Street looking across the street from the resource.

Table 26. House at 9 Mill Street Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.53 miles northeast of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.53 miles southwest of the southwestern-most portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.24 Garage, 1033 West Main Street, Burke (USN 04548.000038)



View looking east toward Project Area. Photograph taken from West Main Street in front of the resource.

Table 27. Garage at 1033 West Main Street Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.55 miles northeast of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.55 miles southwest of the southwestern-most portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
	the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.25 Burke United Methodist Church, 1027 West Main Street, Burke (USN 03344.000011)



View looking east toward Project Area. Photograph taken from sidewalk in front of the resource.

Table 28. Burke United Methodist Church Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.56 miles northeast of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.56 miles southwest of the southwestern-most portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.26 Burke Town Hall / I. O. O. F., 842 Depot Street, Burke (USN 03344.000007)



View looking east toward Project Area. Photograph taken from Depot Street looking east toward resource.

Table 29. Burke Town Hall / I. O. O. F. Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.52 miles northeast of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 1.52 miles southwest of the southwestern-most portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all,

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
	from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.27 Bova House, 5717 State Route 11, Burke (USN 03307.000045)



View looking southeast toward Project Area. Photograph taken from East Road looking west toward resource.

Table 30. Bova House Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 0.06 miles southeast of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	The Project introduces a new, visual element beyond the setting of this house, with the nearest segment of the Project being 0.06 miles southeast of the house. However, vegetation obscures views of the Project from the resource. The setting around the property will not have an impact from the undertaking. Although the construction of this Project has the potential to introduce an effect, it is not an adverse effect. The undertaking, therefore, will not change any of the NRHP qualifying characteristics of the property.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.28 St. Patrick's Cemetery, 294 Cemetery Road, Chateaugay (USN 03308.000070)



View looking west toward Project Area. Photograph taken from Cemetery Road in front of resource.

Table 31. St. Patrick's Cemetery Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 0.32 miles west of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 0.32 miles east of the easternmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
	property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

6.1.29 Chateaugay Village Historic District, various addresses, Chateaugay (USN 03345.000065)



View looking west toward Project Area. Photograph taken at intersection of Main Street and River Road.

Table 32. Chateaugay Village Historic District Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic district in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic district in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic district from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic district that qualify it for NRHP eligibility. The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 1.35 miles west of the easternmost boundary of the historic district. The Project will not affect the use of the district or the features of the district that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the district's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the district.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the district may have views of the Project. However, because the boundary of the historic district is approximately 1.35 miles from the westernmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the district itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic district. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the district's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic district through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally	The historic district is not under Federal ownership.

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic resource.

6.1.30 House, 1207 County Route 23, Burke



View looking southeast toward Project Area. Photograph taken from County Route 23 from resource.

Table 33. House at 1207 County Route 23 Assessment of Effects

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property	Construction of the Project will not damage or destroy this historic property in whole or in part.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation, and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's standards for the treatment of historic properties (36 CFR § 68) and applicable guidelines	Construction of the Project will not alter this historic property in a manner that is inconsistent with the Secretary's standards.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location	Construction of the Project will not remove the historic property from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features	Construction of the Project will not change the use or physical features of the historic property that qualify it for NRHP listing.

Criteria of Adverse Effect 36 CFR § 800.5 (a) (2)	Impact to Historic Property
within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance	The nearest portion of the Project Area is located approximately 0.76 miles east of the resource. The Project will not affect the use of the property or the features of the property that contribute to its eligibility. The Project will also have no impact on physical features within the property's setting because setting is not a contributing feature of the property.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features	Visibility modeling, based on bare earth topography, suggests that the property may have views of the Project. However, because the property is approximately 0.76 miles west of the westernmost portion of the Project Area, the introduction of Project elements will be indistinct, if visible at all, from the property itself. Based on field observations, views from the resource toward the Project are obscured (screened) by intervening vegetation and other residential properties between the Project and this historic property. The Project will have no visual impact on the property's historic setting or features that would diminish the property's NRHP qualifying characteristics.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization	Construction of the Project will not lead to deterioration of the historic property through neglect.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance	The historic property is not under Federal ownership.
Criteria of Adverse Effect Recommendation	The undertaking will have no adverse effect on this historic property.

7.0 Conclusion

TRC identified thirty-six architectural resources in the ZVI of the Project. Thirty-three were previously identified and three resources were newly identified. Of the previously identified resources not NRHP-listed or eligible, three had an undetermined status and one was deemed not NRHP-eligible, and TRC recommends that the undetermined resources are not eligible. TRC recommends that twenty-eight previously identified resources determined NRHP-eligible should remain eligible. TRC recommends one resource previously determined not eligible, the House at 15 East Road, should be NRHP-eligible. One resource previously determined eligible, the House

at 94 West Main Street, should be not eligible due to demolition. Of the three newly identified resources, TRC recommends one as NRHP-eligible. The remaining two newly identified resources are recommended not eligible for NRHP listing due to loss of integrity or lack of historic or architectural significance.

The potential effects of the Project on the thirty NRHP-listed, eligible, and recommended eligible resources were evaluated as part of this survey effort and are summarized below. The remaining six architectural resources identified in the ZVI for the Project are all recommended not NRHP-eligible and the effects of the Project on these resources were not evaluated as part of this survey effort.

According to bare-earth topographic, viewshed modelling conducted for the Project, which does not consider such visual impediments as vegetation and buildings, potential visual effects on historic properties are possible. However, such factors as distance, perspective, and the screening effects of intervening vegetation, topography, and buildings, documented by photography during the reconnaissance survey, will completely obscure or minimize visibility of the Project to a negligible level from the thirty NRHP-listed, eligible, and recommended eligible historic properties in the ZVI. All of the properties that bare-earth viewshed modeling suggests may have some level of visibility of Project components are located outside of the Project Area, and therefore the LOD.

All thirty listed, eligible, and recommended eligible resources evaluated as part of the Historic Architectural Resources Survey have significantly reduced potential for views of the Project due to distance or intervening visual screening such as vegetation, development, other buildings, or roadways. Although two previously surveyed resources are located within the Project Area, they are both recommended not eligible. TRC's analysis of the undertaking in relation to historic properties, therefore, concludes that construction activities will not adversely affect the NRHP-qualifying characteristics of any historic property in the APE.

Considering these factors, TRC concludes that the Project will not introduce new visual elements into views from these properties that would affect character-defining features that contribute to their historic significance and NRHP eligibility and will have no adverse effect on these thirty

properties. Due to distance from the Project and consideration of other criteria of adverse effects, as described in Table 9, the overall impact to historic properties from the Project is expected to be not adverse. Finally, no newly identified resources within the ZVI are located within the property boundaries for the Project or Project LOD.

Based on the results of TRC's Historic Architectural Resources Survey, TRC's analysis of the potential effects of the Project on historic properties concludes that construction activities and operation of the Project will not adversely affect the NRHP qualifying characteristics of any of the thirty NRHP-listed, eligible, and recommended eligible historic properties in the ZVI. Additionally, TRC concludes that the Project would have no effect on the other six architectural resources surveyed within the ZVI because they are all recommended not NRHP-eligible. Therefore, TRC recommends that no measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects on historic properties that are architectural resources are necessary for the Project.

8.0 References Cited

- Beauchamp, W. M.
1900 Aboriginal Occupation of New York. *New York State Museum Bulletin 7*. Albany.
- Chateaugay Historical Society
Undated "A Brief Chateaugay History"
Chateaugay Historical Society. Available online at
<https://chateaugayhistory.org/History%20of%20Chateaugay.pdf>
- Eisenstadt, Peter
2005 *The Encyclopedia of New York State*. Syracuse: Syracuse University Press.
- Graymont, Barbara
1972 *The Iroquois in the American Revolution*. Syracuse: Syracuse University Press
- National Museum of the American Indian (NMAI)
2009 *Haudenosaunee Guide for Educators*.
<https://americanindian.si.edu/sites/1/files/pdf/education/HaudenosauneeGuide.pdf>.
Accessed 5/15/2020
- O'Callaghan, Edmund B.
1846 *History of New Netherlands: or, New York under the Dutch*. Philadelphia: G.S. Appleton
- Roth, Leland M., and Amanda C. Roth Clark
2016 *American Architecture: A History*. Second Edition. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press.
- Seaver, Frederick J.
1918 *Historical Sketches of Franklin County and Its Several Towns*. Albany, NY: J.B. Lyon Company.
- Storke, Elliot. G., and James Hadden
1879 *History of Cayuga County, New York*. Syracuse: D. Mason & Company
- Tooker, E.
1978 "The League of the Iroquois: Its History, Politics, and Ritual." In *Northeast*, edited by B. G. Trigger, pp. 418-441. *Handbook of North American Indians*, vol. 15, W. C. Sturtevant, general editor. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C.

Attachment A: Figures

Attachment B: Preparers' Resumes

**Attachment C: CRIS Trekker Annotated Building List for Surveyed
Resources in the ZVI**

Attachment D: OPRHP Consultation

Submission Status x

 Close

View and/or Address a Response

Project 20PR03997: Brookside Solar Project/100 MW/1212 acres (YE1N9G84O4NX)

Please accept the following information below as the consolidated response from NYS SHPO for the above referenced submission.

Review Responses

Reviewer	Review Type	Response
Daniel Bagrow	Survey and Evaluation	In order for SHPO to complete our evaluation of the historic significance of all buildings/structures/districts within or adjacent to your project area, we need further information. Please review the specific information request(s) below and click the Process button to respond to each request.

Information Requests

Status	Reviewer	Review Type	Request Type	Request Entity	Request Item	Request Description
Processed	Daniel Bagrow	Survey and Evaluation	Request a New Attachment, Photo, or Survey for this Consultation Project		Attachment	What is the total acreage of the project area and what is the acreage of the area that will be directly impacted?

Attachments

Attachment	Reviewer	Review Type	Type	Name	Description
No Attachment Records					

Submission Status

 Close

View and/or Address a Response

Project 20PR03997: Brookside Solar Project/100 MW/1212 acres (25P59E92V3XQ)

Please accept the following information below as the consolidated response from NYS SHPO for the above referenced submission.



Review Responses

Reviewer	Review Type	Response
Jessica Schreyer	Archaeology	We have reviewed the Phase IA archaeological report. Please see the attached letter and guidance document. When the Phase IB report is ready for submission, review the specific information request(s) below and click the Process button to respond.

Information Requests

Status	Reviewer	Review Type	Request Type	Request Entity	Request Item	Request Description
Processed	Jessica Schreyer	Archaeology	Request a New Attachment, Photo, or Survey for this Consultation Project		Archaeology Survey	Please submit the report for the Phase IB Archaeological Survey

Attachments

Attachment	Reviewer	Review Type	Type	Name	Description
	Jessica Schreyer	Archaeology	Document	Response to Submission 4	Response to Phase IA Archaeological Survey report
	Jessica Schreyer	Archaeology	Document	Solar Facility Sensitivity Model guidance	Guidance for Archaeological Survey

Submission Status

X

Close

View and/or Address a Response

Project 20PR03997: Brookside Solar Project/100 MW/1212 acres (5FRN2TCJRC9J)

Please accept the following information below as the consolidated response from NYS SHPO for the above referenced submission.

Review Responses

Reviewer	Review Type	Response
Daniel Bagrow	Survey and Evaluation	In order for SHPO to complete our evaluation of the historic significance of all buildings/structures/districts within or adjacent to your project area, we need further information. Please review the specific information request(s) below and click the Process button to respond to each request.

Information Requests

Status	Reviewer	Review Type	Request Type	Request Entity	Request Item	Request Description
Processed	Daniel Bagrow	Survey and Evaluation	Request a New Trekker Survey			Please submit a Trekker survey.

Attachments

Attachment	Reviewer	Review Type	Type	Name	Description
No Attachment Records					

Submission Status

X

Close

View and/or Address a Response

Project 20PR03997: Brookside Solar Project/100 MW/1212 acres (FBOS9QCR8VI2)

Please accept the following information below as the consolidated response from NYS SHPO for the above referenced submission.

Review Responses

Reviewer	Review Type	Response
Jessica Schreyer	Archaeology	In order for SHPO to complete our evaluation of the Archaeological sensitivity of your project, we need further information. Please review the specific information request(s) below and click the Process button to respond to each request.

Information Requests

Status	Reviewer	Review Type	Request Type	Request Entity	Request Item	Request Description
Processed	Jessica Schreyer	Archaeology	Request a New Attachment, Photo, or Survey for this Consultation Project		Archaeology Survey	Phase IA Archaeological Survey

Attachments

Attachment	Reviewer	Review Type	Type	Name	Description
No Attachment Records					

View and/or Address a Response

Project 20PR03997: Brookside Solar Project/100 MW/1212 acres (J8PW11WW8KCR)

Please accept the following information below as the consolidated response from NYS SHPO for the above referenced submission.


Review Responses

Reviewer	Review Type	Response
Daniel Bagrow	Survey and Evaluation	In order for SHPO to complete our evaluation of the historic significance of all buildings/structures/districts within or adjacent to your project area, we need further information. Please review the specific information request(s) below and click the Process button to respond to each request.

Information Requests

Status	Reviewer	Review Type	Request Type	Request Entity	Request Item	Request Description
Information Requested	Daniel Bagrow	Survey and Evaluation	Request a New Attachment, Photo, or Survey for this Consultation Project		Archaeology Survey	Please review the attached survey guidance for solar projects. If you have questions please contact Daniel Bagrow (dan.bagrow@parks.ny.gov) for assistance.

Attachments

Attachment	Reviewer	Review Type	Type	Name	Description
	Daniel Bagrow	Survey and Evaluation	Document	Survey guidance for solar projects	null

Submission Status

X

Close

View and/or Address a Response

Project 20PR03997: Brookside Solar Project/100 MW/1212 acres (YE1N9G84O4NX)

Please accept the following information below as the consolidated response from NYS SHPO for the above referenced submission.

Review Responses

Reviewer	Review Type	Response
Daniel Bagrow	Survey and Evaluation	In order for SHPO to complete our evaluation of the historic significance of all buildings/structures/districts within or adjacent to your project area, we need further information. Please review the specific information request(s) below and click the Process button to respond to each request.

Information Requests

Status	Reviewer	Review Type	Request Type	Request Entity	Request Item	Request Description
Processed	Daniel Bagrow	Survey and Evaluation	Request a New Attachment, Photo, or Survey for this Consultation Project.	Update: Snipping Tool will be moving to a new home. Try improved features and snip like usual with Snip. Windows logo key + Shift + S.	Attachment	What is the total acreage of the project area and what is the acreage of the area that will be directly impacted?

Attachments

Attachment	Reviewer	Review Type	Type	Name	Description
No Attachment Records					