## Historical Subsidiary Distributions<sup>1</sup> \$ in Millions

Subsidiary Distributions <sup>1</sup> by Strategic Business Unit (SBU)												
Renewables	\$	205	17% \$	99	9% \$	245	21% \$	293	23% \$	240	17%	17%
Utilities	\$	151	13% \$	130	11% \$	137	12% \$	109	8% \$	101	7%	10%
Energy Infrastructure	re \$	808	68% \$	870	76% \$	677	58% \$	826	64% \$	975	69%	67%
New Energy Techno	ologies \$	-	0% \$	-	0% \$	1	0% \$	3	0% \$	-	0%	0%
Corporate <sup>2</sup>	s	27	2% \$	47	4% S	106	9% \$	67	5% \$	92	7%	5%
Total	\$	1,191	100% \$	1,146	100% \$	1,166	100% \$	1,298	100% \$	1,408	100%	100%

Top Ten Subsidiary Distributions' by Business														
AES Andes (Energy Infrastructure)	182	US Ho 15% (Energy Infra	tructure) <sup>3</sup>	213	19%	US Holdco (Utilities)	\$ 182	16%	AES Andes (Energy Infrastructure)	\$ 257	(Energy Intrastructure)	\$	249	18%
AES Argentina (Energy Infrastructure)	131	11% AES Ar (Energy Infra	tructure) <sup>3</sup>	198	17% (I	Southland Energy Infrastructure)	\$ 176	15%	(Energy Intrastructure)	\$ 202	2 16% AES Andes (Energy Infrastructure)	\$	247	18%
AES Indiana \$ (Utilities)	125	11% Mong D (Energy Infra	tructure)	184	16%	AES Indiana (Utilities)	\$ 120	10%	(Renewables)	\$ 197	(Energy Infrastructure)	S	211	15%
AES Andres \$ (Energy Infrastructure)	117	10% AES Inc (Utilitie	s) 🍣	104	9%	AES Clean Energy (Renewables)	\$ 113	10%	(Utilities)	\$ 102	(Renewables)	S	128	9%
US Holdco (Energy Infrastructure)	100	8% Los M (Energy Infra	tructure) <sup>3</sup>	83	7%	Global Insurance (Corporate)	\$ 106	9%	AES Andres (Energy Infrastructure)	\$ 89	9 7% Colon (Energy Infrastructure)	s	88	6%
Maritza East (Energy Infrastructure)	81	7% Maritza (Energy Infra		72	6% (I	Maritza East Energy Infrastructure)	\$ 87	7%	Southland (Energy Infrastructure)	\$ 84	4 6% Global Insurance (Corporate)	\$	88	6%
Mong Duong \$ (Energy Infrastructure)	76	6% AES An (Energy Infra	tructure)	55	5%	AES Panama (Renewables)	\$ 66	6%	Los Mina (Energy Infrastructure)	\$ 74	4 6% AES Indiana (Utilities)	S	73	5%
Los Mina \$ (Energy Infrastructure)	75	6% AES Par (Renewa	oles) 🍣	46	4% (1	AES Andes Energy Infrastructure)	\$ 47	4%	(Corporate)	\$ 67	(Energy Infrastructure)	S	63	4%
TEG TEP (Energy Infrastructure)	59	5% Global Ins 5% (Corpo		44	4% (I	AES Andres Energy Infrastructure)	\$ 46	4%	(Energy Intrastructure)	\$ 59	9 5% AES Panama (Renewables)	S	42	3%
Kilroot \$ (Energy Infrastructure)	33	3% (Energy Infra		19	2% (I	Los Mina Energy Infrastructure)	\$ 36	3%	(Renewables)	\$ 29	(Renewables)	S	38	3%
S	979	82%	\$	1,018	89%		\$ 979	84%		\$ 1,160	0 89%	\$	1,227	87%

<sup>1</sup> Subsidiary Distributions should not be construed as an alternative to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities which is determined in accordance with GAAP. Subsidiary Distributions are important to the Parent Company because the Parent Company is a holding company. That does not derive any significant direct revenues from its own activities but instead relies on its subsidiaries' business activities and the resultant distributions to fund the det service, investment and other cash needs of the holding company. There conciliation of the differences between the Subsidiaries' business and Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities consists of cash generated from operating activities that is related at the subsidiaries for a variety of reasons which are both discretionary and non-discretionary in nature. These factors include, but are not limited to, related to the subsidiaries and when related he subsidiaries, releated at the subsidiaries, releated at the subsidiaries, releated to sufficiency of local GAAP statutory related earnings at the subsidiaries, releated to for working capital needs at the subsidiaries, and other similar timing differences between when the cash is generated to sufficiency of local GAAP statutory related earnings at the subsidiaries, releated to sufficiency of local GAAP statutory related earnings at the subsidiaries for a variety of reasons.